

Disintegration into conditional measures: Rokhlin's theorem

Let Z be a compact metric space, μ be a Borel probability measure on Z , and \mathcal{P} be a partition of Z into measurable subsets. Let $\pi : Z \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ be the map associating to each $z \in Z$ the atom $P \in \mathcal{P}$ that contains it. By definition, \mathcal{Q} is a measurable subset of \mathcal{P} if and only if $\pi^{-1}(\mathcal{Q})$ is a measurable subset of Z . Let $\hat{\mu}$ be the push-forward of μ under π , in other words, $\hat{\mu}$ is the probability measure on \mathcal{P} defined by $\hat{\mu}(\mathcal{Q}) = \mu(\pi^{-1}(\mathcal{Q}))$ for every measurable set $\mathcal{Q} \subset \mathcal{P}$.

Definition 1. A *system of conditional measures of μ with respect to \mathcal{P}* is a family $(\mu_P)_{P \in \mathcal{P}}$ of probability measures on Z such that

1. $\mu_P(P) = 1$ for $\hat{\mu}$ -almost every $P \in \mathcal{P}$;
2. given any continuous $\varphi : Z \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, the function $\mathcal{P} \ni P \mapsto \int \varphi d\mu_P$ is measurable and $\int \varphi d\mu = \int (\int \varphi d\mu_P) d\hat{\mu}(P)$.

Proposition 1. *If $(\mu_P)_{P \in \mathcal{P}}$ is a system of conditional measures of μ relative to the partition \mathcal{P} , then $\mathcal{P} \ni P \mapsto \int \psi d\mu_P$ is measurable and $\int \psi d\mu = \int (\int \psi d\mu_P) d\hat{\mu}(P)$, for any μ -integrable function $\psi : Z \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.*

Proof. The class of functions that satisfy the conclusion of the lemma contains all the continuous functions, and is closed under dominated pointwise convergence. Therefore, it contains all bounded measurable functions. \square

In particular, $P \mapsto \mu_P(E)$ is measurable, and $\mu(E) = \int \mu_P(E) d\hat{\mu}(P)$, for any measurable set $E \subset Z$.

Conditional measures, when they exist, are unique almost everywhere:

Proposition 2. *If $(\mu_P)_{P \in \mathcal{P}}$ and $(\nu_P)_{P \in \mathcal{P}}$ are two systems of conditional measures of μ with respect to \mathcal{P} , then $\mu_P = \nu_P$ for $\hat{\mu}$ -almost every $P \in \mathcal{P}$.*

Proof. Suppose otherwise, that is, there exists a measurable set $\mathcal{Q}_0 \subset \mathcal{P}$ with $\hat{\mu}(\mathcal{Q}_0) > 0$ such that $\mu_P \neq \nu_P$ for every $P \in \mathcal{Q}_0$. Using the fact that $C^0(Z, \mathbb{R})$ admits countable subsets dense with respect to the uniform norm, one gets that there exists $\varphi \in C^0(Z, \mathbb{R})$ and a subset \mathcal{Q} of \mathcal{Q}_0 such that $\hat{\mu}(\mathcal{Q}) > 0$ and (interchanging the roles of μ_P and ν_P , if necessary) $\int \varphi d\mu_P > \int \varphi d\nu_P$ for every $P \in \mathcal{Q}$. Then

$$\int_{\mathcal{Q}} \left(\int \varphi d\mu_P \right) d\hat{\mu}(P) > \int_{\mathcal{Q}} \left(\int \varphi d\nu_P \right) d\hat{\mu}(P). \quad (1)$$

On the other hand, by Proposition 1,

$$\int (\varphi \mathcal{X}_{\pi^{-1}(\mathcal{Q})}) d\mu = \int \left(\int (\varphi \mathcal{X}_{\pi^{-1}(\mathcal{Q})}) d\mu_P \right) d\hat{\mu}(P).$$

By assumption $\mu_P(P) = 1$ for $\hat{\mu}$ -almost every $P \in \mathcal{P}$. For any such P , we have

$$\int (\varphi \mathcal{X}_{\pi^{-1}(\mathcal{Q})}) d\mu_P = \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{Q}}(P) \int \varphi d\mu_P.$$

Therefore,

$$\int (\varphi \mathcal{X}_{\pi^{-1}(\mathcal{Q})}) d\mu = \int \left(\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{Q}}(P) \int \varphi d\mu_P \right) d\hat{\mu}(P) = \int_{\mathcal{Q}} \left(\int \varphi d\mu_P \right) d\hat{\mu}(P).$$

Analogously, we find

$$\int (\varphi \mathcal{X}_{\pi^{-1}(\mathcal{Q})}) d\mu = \int_{\mathcal{Q}} \left(\int \varphi d\nu_P \right) d\hat{\mu}(P).$$

These two last equalities contradict (1). Therefore, $\mu_P = \nu_P$ for $\hat{\mu}$ -almost every P , as claimed. \square

Definition 2. \mathcal{P} is a *measurable partition* if there exist measurable subsets $E_1, E_2, \dots, E_n, \dots$ of Z such that

$$\mathcal{P} = \{E_1, Z \setminus E_1\} \vee \{E_2, Z \setminus E_2\} \vee \dots \vee \{E_n, Z \setminus E_n\} \vee \dots \quad \text{mod } 0.$$

In other words, there exists some full μ -measure subset $F_0 \subset Z$ such that, given any atom P of \mathcal{P} we may write

$$P \cap F_0 = E_1^* \cap E_2^* \cap \dots \cap E_n^* \cap \dots \cap F_0 \quad (2)$$

where E_j^* is either E_j or its complement $Z \setminus E_j$, for every $j \geq 1$.

Example 1. Every finite or countable partition is a measurable partition. In fact, \mathcal{P} is measurable if and only if there exists a non-decreasing sequence of finite or countable partitions $\mathcal{P}_1 \preceq \mathcal{P}_2 \preceq \cdots \preceq \mathcal{P}_n \preceq \cdots$ such that $\mathcal{P} = \vee_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{P}_n \pmod{0}$.

Example 2. Let $Z = X \times Y$, where X and Y are compact metric spaces, and \mathcal{P} be the partition of Z into horizontal lines $X \times \{y\}$, $y \in Y$. Then \mathcal{P} is a measurable partition of Z .

Theorem 3. (*Rokhlin [R]*) *If \mathcal{P} is a measurable partition, then there exists some system of conditional measures of μ relative to \mathcal{P} .*

Proof. For the purpose of the conclusion of the theorem, we may replace the space Z by any full measure subset. So, it is no restriction to suppose that the set F_0 in (2) actually coincides with Z , and we do so in all that follows. Let ψ be any bounded measurable real function on Z . For each $n \geq 1$ let

$$\mathcal{P}_n = \{E_1, Z \setminus E_1\} \vee \{E_2, Z \setminus E_2\} \vee \cdots \vee \{E_n, Z \setminus E_n\}$$

that is, \mathcal{P}_n is the partition of Z whose atoms are the sets $E_1^* \cap \cdots \cap E_n^*$, with $E_j^* = E_j$ or $E_j^* = Z \setminus E_j$, for each $1 \leq j \leq n$. Define $\tilde{\psi}_n : Z \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as follows. If the atom $P_n(z)$ of \mathcal{P}_n that contains z has positive μ -measure, then

$$\tilde{\psi}_n(z) = \frac{1}{\mu(P_n(z))} \int_{P_n(z)} \psi d\mu. \quad (3)$$

Otherwise, $\tilde{\psi}_n(z) = 0$. Clearly, the second case in the definition of $\tilde{\psi}_n$ applies only to a zero μ -measure set of points.

Lemma 4. *Given any bounded measurable function $\psi : Z \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, there exists a full μ -measure subset $F = F(\psi)$ of Z such that $\tilde{\psi}_n(z)$, $n \geq 1$, converges to some real number $\tilde{\psi}(z)$, for every $z \in F$.*

Proof. We may always write $\psi = \psi^+ - \psi^-$, where ψ^\pm are measurable, bounded, and non-negative: for instance, $\psi^\pm = (|\psi| \pm \psi)/2$. Then $\tilde{\psi}_n = \tilde{\psi}_n^+ - \tilde{\psi}_n^-$ for $n \geq 1$, and so the conclusion holds for ψ if it holds for ψ^+ and ψ^- . This shows that it is no restriction to assume that ψ is non-negative. We do so from now on.

For any $\alpha < \beta$, let $S(\alpha, \beta)$ be the set of points $z \in Z$ such that

$$\liminf \tilde{\psi}_n(z) < \alpha < \beta < \limsup \tilde{\psi}_n(z).$$

Clearly, given $z \in Z$, the sequence $\tilde{\psi}_n(z)$ diverges if and only if z is in $S(\alpha, \beta)$ for some pair of rational numbers α and β . So, the lemma will follow if we show that $S = S(\alpha, \beta)$ has zero μ -measure for all α and β .

For each $z \in S$ fix some sequence of integers $1 \leq a(1) < b(1) < \dots < a(i) < b(i) < \dots$ such that

$$\tilde{\psi}_{a(i)}(z) < \alpha \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\psi}_{b(i)}(z) > \beta \quad \text{for every } i \geq 1.$$

Define A_i to be the union of the partition sets $P_{a(i)}(z)$, and B_i to be the union of the partition sets $P_{b(i)}(z)$ obtained in this way, for all the points $z \in S$. By construction,

$$S \subset A_{i+1} \subset B_i \subset A_i \quad \text{for every } i \geq 1.$$

In particular, S is contained in the set

$$\tilde{S} = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i \cap F_0 = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i \cap F_0.$$

Given any two of the sets $P_{a(i)}(z)$ that form A_i , either they are disjoint or one is contained in the other. This is because \mathcal{P}_n , $n \geq 1$, is a non-decreasing sequence of partitions. Consequently, A_i may be written as a two-by-two disjoint union of such sets $P_{a(i)}(z)$. Hence,

$$\int_{A_i} \psi d\mu = \sum_{P_{a(i)}(z)} \int_{P_{a(i)}} \psi d\mu < \sum_{P_{a(i)}(z)} a\mu(P_{a(i)}) = \alpha\mu(A_i),$$

for any $i \geq 1$ (the sums are over that disjoint union). Analogously,

$$\int_{B_i} \psi d\mu = \sum_{P_{b(i)}(z)} \int_{P_{b(i)}} \psi d\mu > \sum_{P_{b(i)}(z)} b\mu(P_{b(i)}) = \beta\mu(B_i).$$

Since $A_i \supset B_i$ and we are assuming $\psi \geq 0$, it follows that

$$\alpha\mu(A_i) > \int_{A_i} \psi d\mu \geq \int_{B_i} \psi d\mu > \beta\mu(B_i),$$

for every $i \geq 1$. Taking the limit as $i \rightarrow \infty$, we find

$$\alpha\mu(\tilde{S}) \geq \beta\mu(\tilde{S}).$$

This implies that $\mu(\tilde{S}) = 0$, and so $S \subset \tilde{S}$ also has zero μ -measure. \square

Given any bounded measurable function $\psi : Z \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we shall represent as $e_n(\psi)$, $e(\psi)$, respectively, the functions $\tilde{\psi}_n$, $\tilde{\psi}$ defined by (3) and Lemma 4.

Let $\{\varphi_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be some countable dense subset of $C^0(Z, \mathbb{R})$, and let

$$F_* = \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} F(\varphi_k),$$

where $F(\varphi_k)$ is as given by Lemma 4.

Lemma 5. *Given any continuous function $\varphi : Z \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, the sequence $e_n(\varphi)(z)$ converges to $e(\varphi)(z)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, for every $z \in F_*$.*

Proof. The class of functions that satisfy the conclusion of the lemma is closed under uniform convergence and, by definition, it contains a dense subset of the space of all continuous functions. \square

Let $\varphi : Z \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be continuous. By construction, $e_n(\varphi)$ is constant on each $P_n \in \mathcal{P}_n$, and so it is also constant on each atom P of \mathcal{P} , for every $n \geq 1$. Therefore, $e(\varphi)$ is constant on $P \cap F_*$ for every $P \in \mathcal{P}$. Let $e_n(\varphi)(P_n)$ represent the value of $e_n(\varphi)$ on each $P_n \in \mathcal{P}_n$. Similarly, $e(\varphi)(P)$ represents the value of $e(\varphi)$ on $P \cap F_*$ whenever the latter set is non-empty. Then, since (3) defines $e_n(\varphi)$ on a full μ -measure subset of Z ,

$$\int \varphi d\mu = \sum_{\mu(P_n) > 0} \int_{P_n} \varphi d\mu = \sum_{\mu(P_n) > 0} \mu(P_n) e_n(\varphi)(P_n) = \int e_n(\varphi) d\mu.$$

Observe also that $|e_n(\varphi)| \leq \sup |\varphi| < \infty$ for every $n \geq 1$. Therefore, we may use the dominated convergence theorem to conclude that

$$\int \varphi d\mu = \int e(\varphi) d\mu. \quad (4)$$

Now we are in a position to construct a system of conditional measures of μ . Let P be any atom of \mathcal{P} such that $P \cap F_*$ is non-empty. It is easy to see that

$$C^0(Z, \mathbb{R}) \ni \varphi \rightarrow e(\varphi)(P) \in \mathbb{R}$$

is a non-negative linear functional on $C^0(Z, \mathbb{R})$. By the Riesz-Markov theorem, there exists a unique probability measure μ_P on Z such that

$$\int \varphi d\mu_P = e(\varphi)(P). \quad (5)$$

For completeness, we should define μ_P also when P does not intersect F_* . In this case we let μ_P be any probability measure on Z : since the set of all these atoms P has zero $\hat{\mu}$ -measure in \mathcal{P} (in other words, their union has zero μ -measure in Z), the choice is not relevant. In view of these definitions, (4) may be rewritten as

$$\int \varphi d\mu = \int \left(\int \varphi d\mu_P \right) d\hat{\mu}(P),$$

the fact that $\mathcal{P} \ni P \mapsto \int \varphi d\mu_P$ is a measurable function being a direct consequence of (5). Therefore, to conclude that $(\mu_P)_{P \in \mathcal{P}}$ do form a system of conditional measures of μ with respect to \mathcal{P} we only have to prove

Lemma 6. $\mu_P(P) = 1$ for $\hat{\mu}$ -almost every $P \in \mathcal{P}$.

We use the following auxiliary result.

Lemma 7. *Given any bounded measurable function $\psi : Z \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ there exists a full $\hat{\mu}$ -measure set $\mathcal{F}(\psi) \subset \mathcal{P}$ such that the set $P \cap F_*$ is non-empty and $\int \psi d\mu_P = e(\psi)(P)$, for any $P \in \mathcal{F}(\psi)$.*

Proof. The class of functions that satisfy the conclusion of the lemma contains all the continuous functions, and is closed under dominated pointwise convergence. Therefore, it contains all bounded measurable functions. \square

Now we can prove Lemma 6:

Proof. Define $\mathcal{F}_* = \bigcap_{k, P_k} \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{X}_{P_k})$, where the intersection is over the set of all the atoms $P_k \in \mathcal{P}_k$, and every $k \geq 1$. Since this is a countable set, \mathcal{F}_* has full $\hat{\mu}$ -measure. We claim that the conclusion of the lemma holds for every $P \in \mathcal{F}_*$. Indeed, let $k \geq 1$ and P_k be the element of \mathcal{P}_k that contains P . By the definition of \mathcal{F}_*

$$\mu_P(P_k) = \int \mathcal{X}_{P_k} d\mu_P = e(\mathcal{X}_{P_k})(P). \quad (6)$$

For each $n \geq 1$, let P_n be the atom of \mathcal{P}_n that contains P . Given any $z \in P \cap F_*$,

$$e_n(\mathcal{X}_{P_k})(z) = \frac{1}{\mu(P_n)} \int_{P_n} \mathcal{X}_{P_k} d\mu.$$

Now, for any $n \geq k$ we have $P_n \subset P_k$, and then the last term is equal to 1. Therefore,

$$e(\mathcal{X}_{P_k})(P) = e(\mathcal{X}_{P_k})(z) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} e_n(\mathcal{X}_{P_k})(z) = 1.$$

Replacing this in (6) we get $\mu_P(P_k) = 1$ for every $k \geq 1$. Finally,

$$\mu_P(P) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mu_P(P_k) = 1$$

because the P_k , $k \geq 1$, are a decreasing sequence whose intersection is P . \square

The proof of Theorem 3 is complete. \square

Example 3. Let Z be the 2-dimensional torus, α be some irrational number, and \mathcal{P} be the partition of Z into the straight lines of slope m . Then \mathcal{P} is not a measurable partition. Indeed, the Haar (Lebesgue) measure on Z admits no system of conditional measures with respect to \mathcal{P} .

Example 4. (ergodic decomposition) Let $f : Z \rightarrow Z$ be a continuous transformation on a compact metric space Z , and B_f be the subset of points $z \in Z$ such that time averages are well-defined on the orbit of z : given any continuous function $\varphi : Z \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, the sequence

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \varphi(f^j(z))$$

converges to some $\tilde{\varphi}(z) \in \mathbb{R}$ when $n \rightarrow \infty$. Let \mathcal{P} be the partition of Z defined by (i) $Z \setminus B_f$ is an atom of \mathcal{P} and (ii) two points z_1, z_2 in B_f are in the same atom of \mathcal{P} if and only if they have the same time averages: $\tilde{\varphi}(z_1) = \tilde{\varphi}(z_2)$ for every continuous function φ . Then \mathcal{P} is a measurable partition, with respect to any probability measure μ in Z . If μ is f -invariant, then $\mu(Z \setminus B_f) = 0$ and any system of conditional measures $(\mu_P)_P$ of μ relative to \mathcal{P} is such that μ_P is f -invariant and ergodic for $\hat{\mu}$ -almost every $P \in \mathcal{P}$.

References

- [R] V. A. Rokhlin, *On the fundamental ideas of measure theory*, Transl. Amer. Math. Soc., Series 1, 10 (1962), 1–52.