# Teoria Espectral

# **Unbounded Operators**

These notes are intend to introduce the unbounded operators and several notions and properties related to them. The notes are sketchy and you might consult some additional textbooks.

- M. Reed and B. Simon, Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics, Volumes 1, 2
- E. Hille, Methods in Classical and Functional Analysis
- T. Kato, Perturbation Theory

We will use the following notation. We will denote X, Y to be Banach spaces. We will use B(z, R) to denote an open ball with center z and radius R.

## **Closed** operators

**Definition 1.** A linear operator  $T : D(T) \subset X \rightarrow Y$  is closed if and only if for all sequence  $\{\phi_n\} \subset D(T)$  such that

$$\phi_n \stackrel{X}{\rightarrow} \phi$$
 and  $T\phi_n \stackrel{Y}{\rightarrow} \psi$ 

then

$$\phi \in D(T)$$
 and  $T\phi = \psi$ ,

if and only if the graph

$$G(T) = \{(\phi, T\phi) \ : \ \phi \in D(T)\}$$

is a closed set in  $X \times Y$ .

**Remark 1.** A linear closed operator is the best we can have after a linear continuous operator.

**Example 1.** The operator  $H_0$  defined by

$$\begin{cases} D(H_0) = H^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \\ H_0 f = -\Delta f \end{cases}$$

is a closed operator. It is not difficult to show that  $H_0 = \mathcal{F}^{-1}M_0\mathcal{F}$  where

$$\begin{cases} D(M_0) = \{ \phi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) : |\xi|^2 \phi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \} \\ M_0 \phi = |\xi|^2 \phi. \end{cases}$$

#### Affirmation: $M_0$ is closed.

Indeed, let  $\{\phi_n\} \subset D(M_0)$  such that  $\phi_n \to \phi$  in  $L^2$  and  $M_0\phi_n \to \psi$  in  $L^2$ . Then there exists a subsequence  $\{\phi_{n_k}\}$  of  $\{\phi_n\}$  such that

$$\begin{cases} \phi_{n_k}(x) \to \phi(x) \\ |x|^2 \phi_{n_k}(x) \to \psi(x) \end{cases} \text{ almost every } x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

This implies that  $|x|^2 \phi(x) = \psi(x)$  a.e. Hence  $|\cdot|^2 \phi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Thus  $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(M_0)$  and  $\psi = M_0 \phi$ . It follows that  $H_0$  is closed.

**Exercise 1.** If  $A : D(A) \subset X \to Y$  is bounded, show that

A is closed  $\iff D(A)$  is closed in X.

Exercise 2. Let

 $\begin{cases} T: D(T) \subset X \to Y & \text{be a closed operator,} \\ A: D(A) \subset X \to Y & \text{be a bounded operator and} \quad D(T) \subset D(A). \end{cases}$ 

Show that  $T + A : D(T) \subset X \rightarrow Y$  is a closed operator and

$$(T+A)\phi = T\phi + A\phi.$$

**Remark 2.** The perturbation of a closed operator by a bounded operator is a closed operator.

# Definition 3.

1. Let  $T : D(T) \subset X \to Y$  be a linear operator. The kernel of the operator T is defined by

 $N(T) = \ker T = \{ \phi \in D(T) : T\phi = 0 \}$  which a subspace of D(T).

The **image** of the operator T is defined by

 $Im(T) = R(T) = \{T\phi : \phi \in D(T)\}$  which a subspace of Y.

2. Let  $T: D(T) \subset X \to Y$  be an injective linear operator, we define  $T^{-1}$  by

$$\begin{cases} D(T^{-1}) = R(T) \\ T^{-1}T\phi = \phi, \quad \forall \phi \in D(T). \end{cases}$$
 Thus  $T^{-1}: R(T) \subset Y \to X.$ 

Some remarks on the graph of a linear operator  $T : D(T) \subset X \to Y$ . 1. *T* is closed  $\iff G(T)$  is closed.

**2.** G(T) closed  $\Rightarrow$  D(T) is closed.

**Example 2.**  $H_0$  is a closed linear operator but  $D(H_0) = H^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is not closed in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Since  $\overline{H^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} = L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  this would imply that  $H^2(\mathbb{R}^n) = L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  which is false.

**Theorem 3** (Closed Graph Theorem). Let X, Y be Banach spaces. If  $T: X \to Y$  is a closed linear operator, then  $T \in \mathcal{B}(X, Y)$ .

**Remark 3.** Note that the operator T is required to be everywheredefined, i.e., the domain D(T) of T is X. **Example 3.** If  $T : D(T) \subset X \rightarrow Y$  is a closed operator and  $S : X \rightarrow X$  is a bounded operator.  $R(S) = \operatorname{Im} S \subset D(T)$ . Then  $T \circ S \in \mathcal{B}(X, Y)$ .

 $T \circ S$  is closed. Let  $\{\phi_n\} \subset X = D(T \circ S)$  such that

$$\begin{cases} \phi_n \xrightarrow{X} \phi \\ (T \circ S)\phi_n \xrightarrow{Y} \psi \end{cases}$$

Since *S* is continuous we have that

$$\begin{cases} S\phi_n \xrightarrow{X} S\phi\\ T(S\phi_n) \xrightarrow{Y} \psi. \end{cases}$$

On the other hand, since T is closed  $S\phi \in D(T)$  and  $\psi = T \circ S\phi$ . This implies that  $T \circ S$  is closed. Thus  $T \circ S : X \to Y$  is closed. Therefore the Closed Graph Theorem implies  $T \circ S \in \mathcal{B}(X, Y)$ . **Exercise 4.** Let  $T : D(T) \subset X \rightarrow Y$  be a linear operator. If T is closed and injective, show that  $T^{-1}$  is closed.

Closure of an operator. Closable operators

**Definition 4.** Let  $A : D(A) \subset X \to Y$  and  $B : D(B) \subset X \to Y$  be two linear operators. We say that B extends A if and only if

$$\begin{split} D(A) &\subseteq D(B) \\ B\phi &= A\phi, \quad \forall \phi \in D(A). \end{split}$$

We use the following notation  $A \subseteq B$  or  $B|_{D(A)} = A$ .

#### **Example 4.** Define the operator

$$\dot{H}_0: \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subseteq L^2(\mathbb{R}^2) \to L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$$
  
 $f \mapsto -\Delta f.$ 

It is clear that  $\dot{H}_0 \subseteq H_0$ .

**Definition 5.** The linear operator  $T : D(T) \subset X \rightarrow Y$  is closable <u>if and only if</u> there exists a closed linear operator S with  $T \subseteq S$ . That is, there exists a closed extension of T.

**Lemma 1.** Let  $\mathfrak{M}$  be a subspace of  $X \times Y$ , then  $\mathfrak{M}$  is the graph of a linear operator if and only if  $\mathfrak{M}$  does not contain points of the form  $(0, v), v \neq 0$ .

Proof. Exercise.

**Proposition 1.** Let  $T : D(T) \subset X \rightarrow Y$  be a linear operator. The following affirmations are equivalent:

(i) T is closable.

(ii)  $\overline{G(T)}$  is the graph of a linear operator (closed).

(iii) If  $\{\phi_n\} \subseteq D(T)$  such that  $\phi_n \xrightarrow{X} 0$  and  $T\phi_n \xrightarrow{Y} v$ , then  $v \equiv 0$ .

#### Proof.

(i)  $\implies$  (ii) Let  $T : D(T) \subset X \to Y$  be a closable operator, then there exists  $S : D(S) \subset X \to Y$  closed such that  $S \subseteq T$ , that is,  $G(T) \subset G(S)$ . This implies that

$$\overline{G(T)} \subset \overline{G(S)} = G(S)$$

does not contain points (0, v),  $v \neq 0$  by Lemma 1. Therefore G(T) is the graph of a linear operator which is closed since  $\overline{G(T)}$  is closed.

(ii)  $\implies$  (iii) If  $\{\phi_n\} \subseteq D(T)$  is such that  $\phi_n \xrightarrow{X} 0$  and  $T\phi_n \xrightarrow{Y} v$ , then  $\underbrace{(\phi_n, T\phi_n)}_{\in G(T)} \xrightarrow{X \times Y} \underbrace{(0, v)}_{\in \overline{G(T)}}$ 

This implies that  $v \equiv 0$  since G(T) is the graph of a linear operator.

(iii)  $\implies$  (ii) If  $\mathfrak{M} = (0, v) \in \overline{G(T)}$ , then v = 0 which implies that  $\overline{G(T)}$  is the graph of a linear operator.

(ii)  $\implies$  (i) Let  $S: D(S) \subseteq X \rightarrow Y$  be a closed linear operator such that  $G(S) = \overline{G(T)}$ . Hence

$$G(T) \subseteq \overline{G(T)} = G(S)$$

implies that  $T \subseteq S$  is closed and thus T is closable.

**Definition 6.** If *T* is a closable operator, the operator *T* defined by  $G(\overline{T}) = \overline{G(T)}$  is called the closure of *T*.

**Exercise 5.** If  $T : D(T) \subset X \rightarrow Y$  is closable, show that

 $D(\overline{T}) = \{ \phi \in X : \phi_j \in D(T) \xrightarrow{X} \phi \text{ and } \{T\phi_j\} \text{ is a Cauchy sequence in } Y \}.$ 

**Example 5** (A no closable operator). Let  $X = Y = L^2([0,1])$ , and  $\phi \in X$  different from 0. Let

$$T: D(T) = C^{0}([0,1]) \subseteq L^{2}([0,1]) \to L^{2}([0,1])$$
$$f \mapsto f(1)\phi.$$

Then *T* is not closable. Indeed, suppose that *T* is closable. Let  $f_j(x) = x^j$ , then  $Tf_j = \phi$  for all  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ . On the other hand,

$$||f_j||_{L^2} = \left(\int_0^1 x^{2j} \, dx\right)^{1/2} = \left(\frac{1}{2j+1}\right)^{1/2} \xrightarrow{j \to \infty} 0$$

Since T is closable then  $\phi \equiv 0$  which is a contradiction.

We will see that all differential operator is closable.

**Definition 7.** Let T be a closed operator, a subspace  $\mathfrak{N} \subset D(T)$  is a core if and only if  $\overline{T|}_{\mathfrak{N}} = T$ , that is, if it is possible to recover T from  $\mathfrak{N}$ .

**Exercise 6.** Show that  $C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  are core of  $H_0$ .

#### Resolvent, spectrum of an operator

**Definition 8.** Let  $T : D(T) \subseteq X \to X$  a linear operator. The resolvent set of T denoted by  $\rho(T)$  is defined by

$$\rho(T) = \{ z \in C : (T - z)^{-1} \text{ exists and } (T - z)^{-1} \in \mathcal{B}(X) \}.$$

**Remark 4.** If T is a closed operator we have that

$$\begin{aligned} z \in \rho(T) \iff \begin{cases} T - z : D(T) \subseteq X \to X \text{ is injective} \\ T - z : D(T) \subseteq X \to X \text{ is surjective} \\ \iff & \text{For all } \psi \in X, \text{ there exists a unique } \phi \in D(T) \\ & \text{such that } (T - z)\phi = \psi. \end{aligned}$$

Indeed,

 $\implies \text{ is easy.} \\ \Leftarrow \quad \text{If } T-z \text{ is } 1-1 \text{ and surjective, then } (T-z)^{-1} : X \to X \text{ is closed} \\ \text{(exercise).} \quad \text{Then applying the closed graph Theorem } (T-z)^{-1} \in \mathcal{B}(X). \end{aligned}$ 

**Definition 9.** The spectrum of a linear operator T is the set

$$\sigma(T) = \mathbb{C} \backslash \rho(T).$$

The set of the eigenvalues of T is given by

$$ev(T) = \{ z \in \mathbb{C} : T - z \text{ is not } 1 - 1 \},\$$

i.e.

$$ev(T) = \{ z \in \mathbb{C} : N(T - z) \neq \{ 0 \} \}.$$

**Remark 5.** We observe that  $ev(T) \subseteq \sigma(T)$ , but in general the inclusion is strict.

#### **Example 6.** Consider the following operator

$$T: \ell^1(\mathbb{N}) \to \ell^1(\mathbb{N})$$
  
$$\{x_j\} = (x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots) \mapsto (0, x_0, x_1, \dots).$$

Notice that T is 1 - 1 but T is not surjective. This in particular implies that

$$ev(T) \subsetneq \sigma(T)$$

since  $0 \notin ev(T)$  and  $0 \in \sigma(T)$ .

**Remark 6.** There are two possible reasons for  $z \in \sigma(T)$ .

(*i*) 
$$T - z$$
 is not  $1 - 1$ .

(ii)  $(T-z)^{-1}$  is not defined in the whole X.

Definition 10. If  $z \in \rho(T)$  we define the resolvent operator by

$$R_T(z) = (T-z)^{-1}.$$

Remark 7. We observe that

$$(T-z)R_T(z)\phi = \phi, \quad \forall \phi \in X$$
$$R_T(z)(T-z)\psi = \psi, \quad \forall \psi \in D(T).$$

**Exercise 7** (An operator without eigenvalues).  
Let 
$$D(M) = L^2([-\pi, \pi]) = L^2_{\text{per}}$$
.  
 $M: D(M) \to L^2_{\text{per}}$   
 $f \mapsto Mf(x) = x f(x) \quad a.e. \ x \in [-\pi, \pi].$ 

`

#### Prove that

(i)  $M \in \mathcal{B}(L^2([-\pi, \pi]));$ (ii)  $M\phi = \lambda \phi \implies \phi = 0;$ (iii)  $\sigma(M) = [-\pi, \pi].$  **Exercise 8** (Spectrum of  $H_0$  and  $M_0$ ). We recall that  $M_0 = \mathcal{F}^{-1} \circ H_0 \circ \mathcal{F}$ . Show that

(i)  $H_0$  and  $M_0$  do not have eigenvalues;

(ii)  $\sigma(H_0) = \sigma(M_0) = \mathbb{R}^+ = [0, \infty).$ 

**Remark 8.** Two linear operators unitarily equivalent have the same spectrum.

**Exercise 9.** Consider the operators  $A_j$ , j = 0, 1, 2, defined by

$$D(A_0) = H^1([-\pi, \pi]),$$
  

$$D(A_1) = \{ \phi \in \mathcal{D}(A_0) / \phi(-\pi) = \phi(\pi) \},$$
  

$$D(A_2) = \{ \phi \in \mathcal{D}(A_1) / \phi(-\pi) = \phi(\pi) = 0 \},$$

and

$$A_j = \frac{1}{i} \frac{d}{dx}, \ j = 0, 1, 2.$$

(i) Prove that  $A_j$  is closed for j = 0, 1, 2.

(ii) Show that  $\sigma(A_0) = \sigma(A_2) = \mathbb{C}$  and  $\sigma(A_1) = \mathbb{Z}$ .

**Exercise 10** (Operator with empty spectrum). We Define  $A^{\pm}$  by  $D(A^{\pm}) = \{\phi \in D(A_0) : \phi(\pm \pi) = 0\},$   $A^{\pm}\phi = A_0\phi = \frac{1}{i}\phi'.$ Show that  $\sigma(A^{\pm}) = \emptyset.$  Next we recall the following property of the spectrum for bounded operator.

**Proposition 2.** If  $A \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ , then the spectrum  $\sigma(A) \neq 0$  and  $\sigma(A)$  is a compact in  $\mathbb{C}$ .

In the case of unbounded operators we only know that  $\sigma(T)$  is closed! As a consequence we need the next properties:

**Theorem 11** (First equation of the resolvent). Let  $T : D(T) \subseteq X \rightarrow X$  be a closed linear operator. Suppose that  $z, z' \in \rho(T)$ , then

$$R_T(z) - R_T(z') = (z - z')R_T(z) \circ R_T(z').$$

Proof. We have that

$$(T - z') - (T - z) = z - z'.$$

So applying  $R_T(z)$  in the above identity, we obtain

$$R_T(z) \circ (T-z') - Id_{D(T)} = (z-z')R_T(z).$$

Now applying  $R_T(z')$  on the right, we get the desired equality

$$R_T(z) - R_T(z') = (z - z')R_T(z) \circ R_T(z').$$

### **Corollary 1.** It holds that

$$R_T(z) \circ R_T(z') = R_T(z') \circ R_T(z).$$

*Proof.* In fact, using

$$R_T(z) - R_T(z') = (z - z')R_T(z) \circ R_T(z')$$

and

$$R_T(z') - R_T(z) = (z' - z)R_T(z') \circ R_T(z)$$

the result follows.

**Theorem 12** (Neumann series). Let *X* be a Banach space and  $A \in \mathcal{B}(X)$  such that ||A|| < 1, then Id - A is invertible and

$$(Id - A)^{-1} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} A^j.$$
 (0.1)

In addition, it holds that

$$\|(Id - A)^{-1}\| \le \frac{1}{1 - \|A\|}.$$
 (0.2)

Proof. Let 
$$B = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} A^j$$
.  
Since 
$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} ||A^j|| \le \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} ||A||^j < \infty,$$

we deduce that the series B is convergent in norm in  $\mathcal{B}(X)$  which implies that  $B = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} A^j \in \mathcal{B}(X)$  and for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we have that

$$(Id - A)\sum_{j=0}^{n} A^{j} = \sum_{j=0}^{n} A^{j} - \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} A^{j} = Id - A^{n+1}$$

Making  $n \to \infty$  we deduce that (Id - A)B = Id.

Similarly we prove that B(Id - A) = Id.

Thus  $B=(Id-A)^{-1}$  and  $\|(Id-A)^{-1}\|=\|\sum_{j=0}^\infty A^j\|\leq \sum_{j=0}^n\|A\|^j=\frac{1}{1-\|A\|}.$ 

**Corollary 2.** If  $T \in \mathcal{G}(X) = \{A \in \mathcal{B}(X); A \text{ is invertible}, A^{-1}\mathcal{B}(X)\}.$ Then

$$B(T, \frac{1}{\|T^{-1}\|}) \subset \mathcal{G}(X).$$

In particular, this implies that  $\mathcal{G}(X)$  is open. In other words, for all  $S \in \mathcal{B}(X)$  such that  $||S|| \leq \frac{1}{||T^{-1}||}$  we have that  $T + S \in \mathcal{G}(X)$ . Moreover,

$$||(T+S)^{-1}|| \le \frac{||T^{-1}||}{1-||S|| ||T^{-1}||}.$$

Proof. We first notice that

$$T+S=T\circ (Id+T^{-1}\circ S)$$

and

$$||T^{-1} \circ S|| \le ||S|| ||T^{-1}|| < 1.$$

This implies that  $(Id + T^{-1}S) \in \mathcal{G}(X)$ . Hence

 $T + S = T \circ (Id + T^{-1} \circ S) \in \mathcal{G}(X)$ . (In particular,  $\mathcal{G}(X)$  is a group).

In addition,

$$(T+S)^{-1} = (Id + T^{-1}S)^{-1} \circ T^{-1}$$

which implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \|(T+S)^{-1}\| &\leq \|(Id+T^{-1}S)^{-1}\| \|T^{-1}\| \\ &\leq \frac{\|T^{-1}\|}{1-\|T^{-1}\circ S\|} \leq \frac{\|T^{-1}\|}{1-\|S\|\|T^{-1}\|}. \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 13.** Let  $T : D(T) \subseteq X \to X$  be a linear operator not necessarily closed. Then  $\rho(T)$  is open in  $\mathbb{C}$  and for all  $\zeta \in \rho(T)$  and  $z \in B(\zeta, ||R_T(\zeta)||^{-1})$  we have  $z \in \rho(T)$  and

 $R_T(z) = R_T(\zeta) \sum_{j=0} R_T(\zeta)^j (z-\zeta)^j, \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{C} \text{ such that } |z-\zeta| < ||R_T(\zeta)||^{-1}.$ 

*Proof.* (Idea of the proof) If we know that z and  $\zeta$  are in  $\rho(T)$  then the first equation of the resolvent would imply that

$$R_T(z) - R_T(\zeta) = (z - \zeta)R_T(z)R_T(\zeta)$$

or

$$R_T(z)(Id - (z - \zeta)R_T(\zeta)) = R_T(\zeta).$$

We can see that

 $(Id - (z - \zeta)R_T(\zeta)) \in \mathcal{G}(X)$  whenever  $|z - \zeta| < ||R_T(\zeta)^{-1}||.$ 

Thus, in this case, it holds that

$$egin{aligned} R_T(z) &= R_T(\zeta) \circ (Id - (z\zeta)R_T(\zeta))^{-1} \ &= R_T(\zeta) \circ \sum_{j=0}^\infty (z-\zeta)^j R_T(\zeta)^j. \end{aligned}$$

To prove the theorem we let  $\zeta \in \rho(T)$  and  $z \in B(\zeta, \|R_T(\zeta)\|^{-1}).$  Define

$$F(z) = R_T(\zeta) \circ \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (z-\zeta)^j R_T(\zeta)^j.$$

We notice that  $F(z) \in \mathcal{B}(X)$  since the series  $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (z-\zeta)^j R_T(\zeta)^j$  con-

verges in norm.

We will show then that  $F(z) = R_T(z)$ . For all  $\phi \in X$ , we have

$$(T-z)F(z)\phi = (T-\zeta) \circ F(z)\phi + (\zeta-z)F(z)\phi$$
$$= \left\{\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (z-\zeta)^j R_T(\zeta)^j - \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (z-\zeta)^{j+1} R_T(\zeta)^{j+1}\right\}\phi$$
$$= \phi$$

Thus

$$(T-z) \circ F(z) = Id. \tag{0.3}$$

Similarly, we get that  $F(z)\circ (T-z)=Id.$  This implies that  $z\in \rho(z)$  and thus

$$R_T(z) = F(z) = R_T(\zeta) \circ \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (z-\zeta)^j R_T(\zeta)^j.$$

●First ●Prev ●Next ●Last ●Go Back ●Full Screen ●Close ●Quit

**Remark 9.** Theorem 13 tell us that if  $T : D(T) \subset X \rightarrow X$  is closed, then the map

$$R_T: \rho(T) \subset \mathbb{C} \to \mathcal{B}(X)$$
$$z \mapsto R_T(z)$$

is a holomorphic function.

Notice that there are several notions to define a holomorphic function  $G: \Theta(\text{open}) \subset \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(X).$ 

(i) G(z) has a power series expansion in terms of each  $z_0 \in \Theta$ ;

(ii)  $z \mapsto G(z)\phi$  is holomorphic for all  $\phi \in X$ ;

(iii)  $z \in \Theta \mapsto \langle \psi, G(z)\phi \rangle$  is holomorphic for all  $\psi \in X^*$  and for all  $\phi \in X$  (*G* is weakly holomorphic).

In a Hilbert space, these three notions are equivalent.

●First ●Prev ●Next ●Last ●Go Back ●Full Screen ●Close ●Quit