## EXTREMAL LYAPUNOV EXPONENTS

- **1.** Let  $(M, \mathcal{B}, \mu, f, A)$  be such that
  - (i)  $(M, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$  is a probability space, that is,  $\mu$  is a probability measure defined on a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{B}$  of subsets of the space M
  - (ii)  $f: M \to M$  is an invertible  $\mathcal{B}$ -measurable transformation preserving the probability measure  $\mu$
  - (iii)  $A: M \to \operatorname{GL}(d, \mathbb{R})$  is  $\mathcal{B}$ -measurable and  $\mu$ -integrable:

 $\log ||A|| \in L^1(\mu)$  and  $\log ||A^{-1}|| \in L^1(\mu)$ .

The associated projective cocycle is the invertible transformation  $F: M \times \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  defined by F(x, [v]) = (f(x), [A(x)v]). Notice that  $F^n(x, [v]) = (f^n(x), [A^n(x)v])$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , where

$$A^{n}(x) = \begin{cases} A(f^{n-1}(x)) \cdots A(f(x)) A(x) & \text{if } n > 0\\ \text{id} & \text{if } n = 0\\ A(f^{n}(x))^{-1} \cdots A(f^{-1}(x))^{-1} & \text{if } n < 0 \end{cases}$$

The extremal Lyapunov exponents of F are

$$\lambda_{+} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \int \log \|A^{n}\| d\mu$$
 and  $\lambda_{-} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \int \log \|(A^{n})^{-1}\|^{-1} d\mu.$ 

The limits exist since the sequences  $\int \log ||A^{\pm n}|| d\mu$  are sub-additive. Observe that  $||A^n(x)|| ||(A^n)^{-1}|| \ge 1$  and so  $\lambda_+ \ge \lambda_-$ . We are going to study necessary conditions for the equality to occur.

**2.** Given  $\sigma$ -algebras  $\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2 \subset \mathcal{B}$ , we say that  $\mathcal{A}_1 \subset \mathcal{A}_2 \mod 0$  if for every  $A_1 \in \mathcal{A}_1$  there exists  $A_2 \in \mathcal{A}_2$  such that  $\mu(A_1 \Delta A_2) = 0$ . We say that  $\mathcal{A}_1 = \mathcal{A}_2 \mod 0$  if  $\mathcal{A}_1 \subset \mathcal{A}_2 \mod 0$  and  $\mathcal{A}_2 \subset \mathcal{A}_1 \mod 0$ . A  $\sigma$ algebra is *generating* if the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by the iterates  $f^n(\mathcal{B}_0)$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  equals  $\mathcal{B} \mod 0$ .

We assume  $(M, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$  to be a *Lebesgue space*, that is, a complete separable probability space. Separability means that  $\mathcal{B}$  admits a countable subset  $\Gamma$  that separates any two points of M and such that the  $\sigma$ algebra it generates equals  $\mathcal{B} \mod 0$ . Completeness means that every  $\bigcap_{G \in \Gamma} G^*$  consists of exactly one point, where  $G^*$  denotes either G or its complement. Every Lebesgue space is isomorphic mod 0 to the union of

Date: January 31, 2007.

an interval, endowed with Lebesgue measure, and a finite or countable set of atoms. See Rokhlin  $[8, \S 2]$ .

Let *m* be a probability measure on  $M \times \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  such that  $P_*m = \mu$ , where  $P: M \times \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{R}^d) \to M$  is the canonical projection. Let

$$\{m_x: x \in M\}$$

be the disintegration of m into conditional probability measures along the fibers, that is, the family of probabilities  $m_x$  on  $M \times \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , such that  $x \mapsto m_x$  is  $\mathcal{B}$ -measurable, every  $m_x$  is supported inside the fiber  $\{x\} \times \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , and

$$m(E) = \int m_x(E) \, d\mu(x)$$

for any measurable set  $E \subset M \times \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . Such a family exists, because  $(M, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$  is a Lebesgue space, and it is unique mod 0. See [8, § 3].

**Theorem 1** (Ledrappier [6]). Suppose  $\lambda_+ = \lambda_-$ . Let  $\mathcal{B}_0 \subset \mathcal{B}$  be a generating  $\sigma$ -algebra such that both f and A are  $\mathcal{B}_0$ -measurable mod 0. Then the disintegration  $x \mapsto m_x$  of any F-invariant probability m with  $P_*m = \mu$  is  $\mathcal{B}_0$ -measurable mod 0.

We are going to deduce some consequences, following Ledrappier [6]. Then we state a generalization, Theorem 7, whose proof is given elsewhere [1].

**3.** Given functions  $g_{\alpha} : M \to X_{\alpha}$  with values in measurable spaces  $X_{\alpha}, \alpha \in I$ , we denote by  $\operatorname{span}(g_{\alpha} : \alpha \in I)$  the smallest  $\sigma$ -algebra on M relative to which every  $g_{\alpha}$  is measurable. We call  $\{\emptyset, M\}$  the *trivial*  $\sigma$ -algebra.

**Theorem 2.** Suppose  $\lambda_+ = \lambda_-$  and

(1)  $\operatorname{span}(A \circ f^n : n \ge 0) \cap \operatorname{span}(A \circ f^n : n < 0) = \{\emptyset, M\} \mod 0.$ 

Then there exists a probability  $\eta$  on  $\mathbb{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  such that  $A(x)_*\eta = \eta$  for  $\mu$ -almost every  $x \in M$ .

For the proof we need the following easy fact:

**Lemma 3.** Let  $\hat{\mathcal{B}} = \operatorname{span}(A \circ f^n : n \in \mathbb{Z})$  and  $\mathcal{B}_0 = \operatorname{span}(A \circ f^n : n \ge 0)$ . Then

- (1) the  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\hat{\mathcal{B}}$  is separable and complete mod 0
- (2) the iterates  $f^n(\mathcal{B}_0), n \in \mathbb{Z}$  generate  $\hat{\mathcal{B}}$
- (3) both f and A are  $\mathcal{B}_0$ -measurable and, hence,  $\hat{\mathcal{B}}$ -measurable

Then  $(M, \hat{\mathcal{B}}, \mu)$  is a Lebesgue space. Moreover, both f and A are  $\hat{\mathcal{B}}$ -measurable. This means that, up to replacing  $\mathcal{B}$  by  $\hat{\mathcal{B}}$  from the

start, we may suppose that the sub- $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{B}_0$  defined in Lemma 3 is generating.

Thus, applying Theorem 1, we get that  $x \mapsto m_x$  is  $\mathcal{B}_0$ -measurable mod 0, for any *F*-invariant probability *m* such that  $P_*m = \mu$ . Moreover, we may apply the same arguments with *f* and *A* replaced by their inverses, and  $\mathcal{B}_0$  replaced by  $\mathcal{B}'_0 = \operatorname{span}(A \circ f^n : n < 0)$ . Notice that  $x \mapsto A^{-1}(x) = A(f^{-1}(x))^{-1}$  is  $\mathcal{B}'_0$ -measurable. We conclude that  $x \mapsto m_x$  is also  $\mathcal{B}'_0$ -measurable.

Thus, in view of (1), the disintegration is measurable mod 0 with respect to the trivial  $\sigma$ -algebra. In other words, there exists  $\eta$  such that  $m_x = \eta$  for  $\mu$ -almost every  $x \in M$ . Finally, note that  $A(x)_*m_x = m_{f(x)}$ for  $\mu$ -almost every x, because m is F-invariant and f is invertible. This completes the proof of Theorem 2 from Theorem 1.

4. As a further consequence we obtain a theorem of Furstenberg on products of random matrices. We call  $(M, \mathcal{B}, \mu, f, A)$  an *independent* product of random matrices if there exists a probability  $\nu$  supported on some  $G \subset \operatorname{GL}(d, \mathbb{R})$  such that  $M = G^{\mathbb{Z}}, \mathcal{B}$  is the product  $\sigma$ -algebra on M,  $\mu$  is the Bernoulli measure  $\nu^{\mathbb{Z}}$ , f is the shift map of M, and  $A(g) = g_0$  for every  $g = (g_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  in M.

**Theorem 4** (Furstenberg [2]). Let  $(M, \mathcal{B}, \mu, f, A)$  be an independent product of random matrices and suppose  $\lambda_+ = \lambda_-$ . Then there exists a probability measure  $\eta$  on  $\mathbb{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  such that  $g_*\eta = \eta$  for every  $g \in G$ .

Indeed, span $(A \circ f^n : n \geq 0)$  is the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by the cylinders  $[0; G_0, \ldots, G_l], l \geq 1$ , and span $(A \circ f^n : n < 0)$  is the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by the cylinders  $[-l; G_{-l}, \ldots, G_{-1}], l \geq 1$ , and so the hypothesis (1) is satisfied in this case. So, by Theorem 2, there exists  $\eta$  on  $\mathbb{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  such that  $A(\underline{g})_*\eta = \eta$  for  $\mu$ -almost every  $\underline{g} \in M$ . In other words,  $g_*\eta = \eta$  for  $\nu$ -almost every  $g \in G$ . Then this invariance relation must hold for every g in  $G = \operatorname{supp} \nu$ , as claimed in Theorem 4.

Most projective maps have very few invariant measures: for instance, if all the eigenvalues of  $g \in \operatorname{GL}(d, \mathbb{R})$  have distinct norms then the only *g*-invariant probability measures in  $\mathbb{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  are the convex combinations of Dirac masses at the eigenspaces. Thus, the conclusion of Theorem 4 is very strong: the theorem implies that  $\lambda_+ > \lambda_-$  for most independent products of random matrices.

5. We call  $(M, \mathcal{B}, \mu, f, A)$  a Markov product of random matrices if there exists  $G \subset \operatorname{GL}(d, \mathbb{R})$  such that  $M = G^{\mathbb{Z}}$ ,  $\mathcal{B}$  is the product  $\sigma$ algebra on M,  $\mu$  is a Markov measure on M, f is the shift map of M, and  $A(\underline{g}) = g_0$  for every  $\underline{g} = (g_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  in M. The condition on  $\mu$  means that there exists a family of transition probabilities  $p(q, \cdot), q \in G$  such that

$$\mu([k; G_k, \dots, G_n, G_{n+1}]) = \int_{[k; G_k, \dots, G_n]} p(g_n, G_{n+1}) \, d\mu(\underline{g})$$

for any  $k \leq n$  and  $G_k, \ldots, G_n, G_{n+1} \subset G$ .

**Theorem 5** (Virtser [11], Guivarc'h [3], Royer [9]). Let  $(M, \mathcal{B}, \mu, f, A)$ be a Markov product of random matrices and suppose  $\lambda_+ = \lambda_-$ . Then there exists a measurable family  $(\eta_g)_{g\in G}$  of probability measures on  $\mathbb{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  such that  $g_*\eta_g = \eta_h$  for  $p(g, \cdot)$ -almost every  $h \in G$ .

**6.** Let  $(M, \mathcal{B}, \mu, f)$  be as before and  $A_E : M \to SL(2, \mathbb{R})$  be defined by

$$A_E(x) = \left(\begin{array}{cc} V(x) - E & -1\\ 1 & 0 \end{array}\right)$$

where the energy E is a real parameter and the potential  $V: M \to \mathbb{R}$  is a measurable function satisfying

$$\int \max\{\log |V(x)|, 0\} \, d\mu < \infty.$$

Let  $\lambda_{\pm}(E)$  be the extremal Lyapunov exponents of the corresponding linear cocycle. In this case  $\lambda_{-} + \lambda_{+} = 0$ , because d = 2 and det  $A \equiv 1$ . The notantial  $V \in M_{-} \oplus \mathbb{R}$  is called *deterministic* if

The potential  $V: M \to \mathbb{R}$  is called *deterministic* if

(2) 
$$\bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} \operatorname{span}(V \circ f^n : n \ge k) = \operatorname{span}(V \circ f^n : n \in \mathbb{Z}) \mod 0.$$

Observe that  $\operatorname{span}(V \circ f^n) = f^{-n}(\operatorname{span}(V))$  decreases when *n* increases. Thus, (2) may be read: the past values determine the future values of V. Typically, quasi-periodic potentials (f is an irrational rotation) are deterministic, whereas Bernoulli potentials (f is a Bernoulli transformation) are not.

**Theorem 6** (Kotani [5], Simon [10]). If V is non-deterministic then  $\lambda_{-}(E) < 0 < \lambda_{+}(E)$  for almost every value of E.

Ledrappier  $[6, \S VI]$  shows how this result follows from Theorem 1.

7. Let  $(M, \mathcal{B}, \mu, f)$  be as before and  $P : \mathcal{E} \to M$  be a fiber bundle with fibers  $\mathcal{E}_x$  diffeomorphic to some Riemannian manifold N. A non-linear cocycle over f is a measurable transformation  $F : \mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{E}$  such that  $P \circ F = f \circ P$  and every  $F_x : \mathcal{E}_x \to \mathcal{E}_{f(x)}$  is a diffeomorphism. We always assume that the norms of the derivative  $DF_x(\xi)$  and its inverse are uniformly bounded. Then the functions

(3) 
$$(x,\xi) \mapsto \log \|DF_x(\xi)\|$$
 and  $(x,\xi) \mapsto \log \|DF_x(\xi)^{-1}\|$ 

4

are integrable, relative to any probability measure on  $\mathcal{E}$ . The *extremal* Lyapunov exponents of F at a point  $(x, \xi) \in \mathcal{E}$  are

$$\lambda_{+}(F, x, \xi) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \|DF_{x}^{n}(\xi)\|.$$
$$\lambda_{-}(F, x, \xi) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \|DF_{x}^{n}(\xi)^{-1}\|^{-1}$$

The limits exist *m*-almost everywhere, with respect to any *F*-invariant probability m on  $\mathcal{E}$ , by sub-additivity (Kingman [4]). Notice that

$$\lambda_{-}(F, x, \xi) \le \lambda_{+}(F, x, \xi),$$

because  $||DF_x^n(\xi)|| ||DF_x^n(\xi)^{-1}|| \ge 1$ . Denote

$$\lambda_{\pm} = \lambda_{\pm}(F, m) = \int \lambda_{\pm}(F, x, \xi) \, dm(x, \xi).$$

If (F, m) is ergodic then  $\lambda_{\pm}(F, x, \xi) = \lambda_{\pm}$  for *m*-almost every  $(x, \xi)$ .

We consider probability measures m on  $\mathcal{E}$  that project down to  $\mu$ under P. By [8, § 3], such a measure m admits a family  $\{m_x : x \in M\}$  of probabilities such that  $x \mapsto m_x$  is  $\mathcal{B}$ -measurable, every  $m_x$  is supported inside the fiber  $\mathcal{E}_x$ , and

$$m(E) = \int m_x(E) \, d\mu(x)$$

for any measurable set  $E \subset \mathcal{E}$ . Moreover, such a family is essentially unique. We call it the *disintegration* of m and refer to the  $m_x$  as its *conditional probabilities* along the fibers. The following result extends Theorem 1:

**Theorem 7.** Suppose either  $\lambda_+(x,\xi) \leq 0$  for m-almost every  $(x,\xi)$  or  $\lambda_-(x,\xi) \geq 0$  for m-almost every  $(x,\xi)$ . Let  $\mathcal{B}_0 \subset \mathcal{B}$  be a generating  $\sigma$ -algebra such that both f and  $x \mapsto F_x$  are  $\mathcal{B}_0$ -measurable mod 0. Then  $x \mapsto m_x$  is  $\mathcal{B}_0$ -measurable mod 0.

8. Let us check that Theorem 1 follows from Theorem 7. Take  $\mathcal{E} = M \times \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . Given  $A : M \to \operatorname{GL}(d, \mathbb{R})$ , consider  $F_x$  to be the projective diffeomorphism induced by A(x) on the projective space  $N = \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . Locally, the points of  $\mathbb{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  may be represented by unit vectors  $\xi$ . Then

$$F_x^n(\xi) = \frac{A^n(x)\xi}{\|A^n(x)\xi\|}$$

for every  $x, \xi$ , and n. It follows that,

$$DF_x^n(\xi)\dot{\xi} = \frac{\operatorname{proj}_{A^n(x)\xi}(A^n(x)\xi)}{\|A^n(x)\xi\|},$$

where  $\operatorname{proj}_u v = v - u(u \cdot v)/(u \cdot u)$  is the projection of v to the orthogonal complement of u. This implies that

 $||DF_x^n(\xi)|| \le ||A^n(x)|| / ||A^n(x)\xi|| \le ||A^n(x)|| ||A^n(x)^{-1}||$ 

for every  $x, \xi$ , and n. Consequently,  $\lambda(x,\xi) \leq \lambda_+(x) - \lambda_-(x)$ , where

$$\lambda_{+}(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \|A^{n}(x)\| \text{ and } \lambda_{-}(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \|A^{n}(x)^{-1}\|^{-1}.$$

Oseledets [7] ensures that these two limits exist almost everywhere and

$$\lambda_{\pm} = \int \lambda_{\pm}(x) \, d\mu(x)$$

Clearly,  $\lambda_+(x) \ge \lambda_-(x)$  at  $\mu$ -almost every x. Hence,  $\lambda_+ = \lambda_-$  implies  $\lambda_+(x) = \lambda_-(x)$  for  $\mu$ -almost every x, and so  $\lambda(x,\xi) \le 0$  for m-almost every  $(x,\xi)$ . Thus, Theorem 1 is indeed a particular case of Theorem 7.

## References

- A. Avila and M. Viana. Extremal Lyapunov exponents of non-linear cocycles. In preparation.
- [2] H. Furstenberg. Non-commuting random products. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 108:377–428, 1963.
- [3] Y. Guivarc'h. Marches aléatories à pas markovien. Comptes Rendus Acad. Sci. Paris, 289:211–213, 1979.
- [4] J. Kingman. The ergodic theorem of subadditive stochastic processes. J. Royal Statist. Soc., 30:499–510, 1968.
- [5] S. Kotani. Lyapunov indices determine absolutely continuous spectra of stationary random one-dimensional Schrödinger operators. In *Stochastic analysis*, pages 225–248. North Holland, 1984.
- [6] F. Ledrappier. Positivity of the exponent for stationary sequences of matrices. In Lyapunov exponents (Bremen, 1984), volume 1186 of Lect. Notes Math., pages 56-73. Springer, 1986.
- [7] V. I. Oseledets. A multiplicative ergodic theorem: Lyapunov characteristic numbers for dynamical systems. *Trans. Moscow Math. Soc.*, 19:197–231, 1968.
- [8] V. A. Rokhlin. On the fundamental ideas of measure theory. A. M. S. Transl., 10:1–52, 1962. Transl. from Mat. Sbornik 25 (1949), 107–150.
- [9] G. Royer. Croissance exponentielle de produits markoviens de matrices. Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré, 16:49–62, 1980.
- [10] B. Simon. Kotani theory for one-dimensional stochastic Jacobi matrices. Comm. Math. Phys., 89:227–234, 1983.
- [11] A. Virtser. On products of random matrices and operators. *Th. Prob. Appl.*, 34:367–377, 1979.