B-model of mirror symmetry for compact non-rigid Calabi-Yau manifolds

Hossein Movasati

IMPA, CMSA, www.impa.br/~hossein/

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のQ@

Abstract:

In B-model of mirror symmetry, period manipulations play an important role for computing the Gromov-Witten invariants of the A-model. This requires computing power series of periods, finding a maximal unipotent monodromy, mirror map etc. In this talk I will present a purely algebraic version of such computations for Calabi-Yau varieties of arbitrary dimension. It involves a construction of the moduli space of enhanced Calabi-Yau varieties and modular vector fields on it. This will give us an algebraic BCOV anomaly equation and will eventually lead us to the the theory of Calabi-Yau modular forms.

Inspired by and have used the works of:

Candelas et. al 1991, Bershadsky-Cecotti-Ooguri-Vafa 1994, Huang-Klemm-Quackenbush 2009, Yamaguchi-Yau 2004 and many works of D. van Straten, M. Alim, E. Scheidegger, B. Haghighat, Ch. Doran, Sh. Hosono, B. Lian, S.-T. Yau and

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

Period manipulation in B-model:

Most of the time we do not need to know the *CY*3 geometry. We only need to know the Picard-Fuchs equation/system of a holomorphic (3, 0)-form. For mirror quintic $X_z, z \in \mathbb{P}^1$ this is:

$$\theta^4 - z(\theta + \frac{1}{5})(\theta + \frac{2}{5})(\theta + \frac{3}{5})(\theta + \frac{4}{5}) = 0, \quad \theta = z\frac{\partial}{\partial z}.$$
 (1)

A basis of the solution space of (1) is given by:

$$\psi_i(z) = \frac{1}{i!} \frac{\partial^i}{\partial \epsilon^i} (5^{-5\epsilon} F(\epsilon, z)), \quad i = 0, 1, 2, 3,$$

where

$$F(\epsilon, z) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\frac{1}{5} + \epsilon)_n (\frac{2}{5} + \epsilon)_n (\frac{3}{5} + \epsilon)_n (\frac{4}{5} + \epsilon)_n}{(1 + \epsilon)_n^4} z^{\epsilon+n}$$

and $(a)_n := a(a+1)\cdots(a+n-1)$ for n > 0 and $(a)_0 := 1$.

・ロト・日本・日本・日本・日本・日本

Integration of four differential forms over four cycles

We use the base change

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_{11} \\ x_{21} \\ x_{31} \\ x_{41} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 5 & \frac{5}{2} & -\frac{25}{12} \\ -5 & 0 & -\frac{25}{12} & 200 \frac{\zeta(3)}{(2\pi i)^3} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{5^4} \psi_3 \\ \frac{2\pi i}{5^4} \psi_2 \\ \frac{(2\pi i)^2}{5^4} \psi_1 \\ \frac{(2\pi i)^3}{5^4} \psi_0 \end{pmatrix}$$

We have $x_{i1} = \int_{\delta_i} \eta$, i = 1, 2, 3, 4, where η is a holomorphic three form on X_z and $\delta_i \in H_3(X_z, \mathbb{Z})$, i = 1, 2, 3, 4 is a symplectic basis. **Mirror map:**

$$au_0 := rac{x_{11}}{x_{21}}, \ \ q := e^{2\pi i au_0},$$

16 periods:

$$x_{ij} := \theta^{j-1} x_{i1}, \ i, j = 1, 2, 3, 4.$$

<□▶ <圖▶ < 差▶ < 差▶ = 差 = のへで

Seven holomorphic quantities at MUM:

The seven functions

$$\begin{split} t_0 &= x_{21}, \\ t_1 &= 5^4 x_{21} \left((6z-1) x_{21} + 5(11z-1) x_{22} + 25(6z-1) x_{23} + 125(z-t_2) \right) \\ t_2 &= 5^4 x_{21}^2 \left((2z-7) x_{21} + 15(z-1) x_{22} + 25(z-1) x_{23} \right), \\ t_3 &= 5^4 x_{21}^3 \left((z-6) x_{21} + 5(z-1) x_{22} \right), \\ t_4 &= z x_{21}^5, \\ t_5 &= 5^5 (z-1) x_{21}^2 \left(x_{12} x_{21} - x_{11} x_{22} \right), \\ t_6 &= 5^5 (z-1) x_{21} \left(3(x_{12} x_{21} - x_{11} x_{22} \right) + 5(x_{13} x_{21} - x_{11} x_{23}) \right). \end{split}$$

are holomorphic at z = 0 and so there are holomorphic functions h_i defined in some neighborhood of $0 \in \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$t_i = (\frac{2\pi i}{5})^{d_i} h_i(e^{2\pi i \tau_0}),$$
(3)

э

where

$$d_i := 3(i+1), i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, d_5 := 11, d_6 := 8.$$

q-expansion

	q^0	q^1	q^2	q^3	q^4	q^5	q^6	
$\frac{1}{24}t_0$	$\frac{1}{120}$	1	175	117625	111784375	126958105626	160715581780591	
$\frac{-1}{750}t_1$	$\frac{1}{30}$	3	930	566375	526770000	592132503858	745012928951258	
$\frac{-1}{50}t_2$	$\frac{7}{10}$	107	50390	29007975	26014527500	28743493632402	35790559257796542	
$\frac{-1}{5}t_3$	65	71	188330	100324275	86097977000	93009679497426	114266677893238146	
$-t_4$	Ō	-1	170	41475	32183000	32678171250	38612049889554	
$\frac{1}{125}t_5$	$-\frac{1}{125}$	15	938	587805	525369650	577718296190	716515428667010	
$\frac{1}{25}t_6$	- 35	187	28760	16677425	15028305250	16597280453022	20644227272244012	
$\frac{1}{125}t_7$	$-\frac{1}{5}$	13	2860	1855775	1750773750	1981335668498	2502724752660128	
$\frac{1}{10}t_8$	$-\frac{1}{50}$	13	6425	6744325	8719953625	12525150549888	19171976431076873	
$\frac{1}{10}t_9$	$-\frac{1}{10}$	17	11185	12261425	16166719625	23478405649152	36191848368238417	

Yukawa coupling

$$Y = \frac{5^8 (t_4 - t_0^5)^2}{t_5^3}$$
(4)
= $(\frac{2\pi i}{5})^{-3} \left(5 + 2875 \frac{q}{1-q} + 609250 \cdot 2^3 \frac{q^2}{1-q^2} + \dots + n_d d^3 \frac{q^d}{1-q^d} \right)$ (5)

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

Here, n_d is the virtual number of rational curves in a generic quintic threefold. The numbers n_d are also called instanton numbers or BPS degeneracies (Computed for the fist time in 1991 by Candelas et al.)

Modular vector field or GMCD

 t_i 's satisfy the ordinary differential equation R_0 , with $\dot{*} := \frac{\partial *}{\partial \tau_0}$.

$$\begin{cases} \dot{t}_{0} = \frac{1}{t_{5}} (6 \cdot 5^{4} t_{0}^{5} + t_{0} t_{3} - 5^{4} t_{4}) \\ \dot{t}_{1} = \frac{1}{t_{5}} (-5^{8} t_{0}^{6} + 5^{5} t_{0}^{4} t_{1} + 5^{8} t_{0} t_{4} + t_{1} t_{3}) \\ \dot{t}_{2} = \frac{1}{t_{5}} (-3 \cdot 5^{9} t_{0}^{7} - 5^{4} t_{0}^{5} t_{1} + 2 \cdot 5^{5} t_{0}^{4} t_{2} + 3 \cdot 5^{9} t_{0}^{2} t_{4} + 5^{4} t_{1} t_{4} + 2 t_{2} t_{3}) \\ \dot{t}_{3} = \frac{1}{t_{5}} (-5^{10} t_{0}^{8} - 5^{4} t_{0}^{5} t_{2} + 3 \cdot 5^{5} t_{0}^{4} t_{3} + 5^{10} t_{0}^{3} t_{4} + 5^{4} t_{2} t_{4} + 3 t_{3}^{2}) \\ \dot{t}_{4} = \frac{1}{t_{5}} (5^{6} t_{0}^{4} t_{4} + 5 t_{3} t_{4}) \\ \dot{t}_{5} = \frac{1}{t_{5}} (-5^{4} t_{0}^{5} t_{6} + 3 \cdot 5^{5} t_{0}^{4} t_{5} + 2 t_{3} t_{5} + 5^{4} t_{4} t_{6}) \\ \dot{t}_{6} = \frac{1}{t_{5}} (3 \cdot 5^{5} t_{0}^{4} t_{6} - 5^{5} t_{0}^{3} t_{5} - 2 t_{2} t_{5} + 3 t_{3} t_{6}) \end{cases}$$

$$(6)$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆目▶ ◆目▶ 目 のへで

A new moduli space:

Let T be the moduli of pairs (X, [α_1 , α_2 , α_3 , α_4]), where X is a mirror quintic Calabi-Yau threefold and

$$\alpha_i \in F^{4-i} \setminus F^{5-i}, \quad i = 1, 2, 3, 4,$$
$$[\langle \alpha_i, \alpha_j \rangle] = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

Here, $H^3_{dR}(X)$ is the third algebraic de Rham cohomology of X, F^i is the *i*-th piece of the Hodge filtration of $H^3_{dR}(X)$, $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the intersection form in $H^3_{dR}(X)$.

Gauss-Manin connection

We construct the universal family $X \rightarrow T$ together with global sections α_i , i = 1, 2, 3, 4 of the relative algebraic de Rham cohomology $H^3(X/T)$. Let

$$\nabla: H^3_{dR}(X/T) \to \Omega^1_T \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_T} H^3_{dR}(X/T),$$

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

be the algebraic Gauss-Manin connection on $H^3(X/T)$.

[Mov15, Mov17]

There is a unique vector field R_0 in T such the Gauss-Manin connection of the universal family of mirror quintic Calabi-Yau threefolds over T composed with the vector field R_0 , namely ∇_{R_0} , satisfies:

$$\nabla_{\mathsf{R}_{0}} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{1} \\ \alpha_{2} \\ \alpha_{3} \\ \alpha_{4} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & Y & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{1} \\ \alpha_{2} \\ \alpha_{3} \\ \alpha_{4} \end{pmatrix}$$
(7)

for some regular function Y in T. In fact,

$$\mathsf{T} := \operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{Q}[t_0, t_1, \dots, t_6, \frac{1}{t_4 t_5 (t_4 - t_0^5)}]), \tag{8}$$

and the vector field R_0 and Y are given as before.

Main goal: A new theory of modular forms

These are not classical modular forms! Modular type functions? Zagier called classical modular forms for $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$:

Elliptic modular forms.

I decided to call these new theories:

CY modular forms

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

A general theorem: [Mov20]

Let X/T be a universal family of enhanced smooth projective Calabi-Yau varieties of dimension *n*. There exist unique global vector fields v_j , $j = 1, 2, ..., h_{\text{prim}}^{n-1,1}$ in T and unique $h_{\text{prim}}^{n-i+1,i-1} \times h_{\text{prim}}^{n-i,i}$ matrices $Y_j^{i-1,i}$, i = 1, 2, ..., n with entries as regular functions in T such that

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{v}_{j}} \alpha = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & Y_{j}^{01} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & Y_{j}^{12} & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & Y_{j}^{n-1,n} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix} \alpha$$

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

with

$$\begin{split} Y_{j}^{01} &= [0, 0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0], \quad 1 \text{ is in the } j\text{-th place} \\ Y_{j}^{i-1,i} &= (-1)^{n-1} \left(Y_{j}^{n-i,n-i+1} \right)^{\text{tr}}, \\ v_{j}(Y_{k}^{i-1,i}) &= v_{k}(Y_{j}^{i-1,i}), \\ Y_{j}^{i-1,i}Y_{k}^{i,i+1} &= Y_{k}^{i-1,i}Y_{j}^{i,i+1}. \end{split}$$

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆三 ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶

Quasi affinness conjecture:

We know that the moduli of smooth Calabi-Yau varieties exists as a quasi-projective variety (Viehweg 1995). This implies the same statement for T. However,

Conjecture

The moduli space T is quasi-affine and moreover, the universal family $X \to T$ exists.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ののの

Elliptic curves: [Mov12]

$$\mathsf{T} := \operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{Q}[t_1, t_2, t_3, \frac{1}{27t_3^2 - t_2^3}])$$

Universal family

$$y^2 = 4(x - t_1)^3 - t_2(x - t_1) - t_3, \ \alpha_1 = [\frac{dx}{y}], \ \alpha_2 = [\frac{xdx}{y}]$$

Ramanujan vector field:

$$\mathsf{R} = (t_1^2 - \frac{1}{12}t_2)\frac{\partial}{\partial t_1} + (4t_1t_2 - 6t_3)\frac{\partial}{\partial t_2} + (6t_1t_3 - \frac{1}{3}t_2^2)\frac{\partial}{\partial t_3}.$$

(partially inspired by K. Saito's work on primitive forms!)

Dwork family: M.+Nikdelan [MN16]

$$x_0^{n+2} + x_1^{n+2} + \ldots + x_{n+1}^{n+2} - (n+2)\psi x_0 x_1 \ldots x_n = 0,$$

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

For n = 1, 2 one gets vector fields which can be solved with modular forms.

It seems that that the theory of CY modular forms for n = 2k + 1 is related to the same theory for n = 2k + 2!!

Lattice polarized K3 surfaces: Alim 2014, [Mov20]

Let X/T be a universal family of enhanced K3 surfaces. There are unique vector fields v_k , $k = 1, 2, ..., h_{\text{nrim}}^{1,1}$ in T such that

$$A_{\nu_k} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \delta_k^j & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\delta_k^j \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
(9)

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

 $H^0(T, \mathcal{O}_T)$ is going to be the algebra of automorphic forms and their derivations for the classical mouli of lattice polarized K3 surfaces $\Gamma_{\mathbb{Z}} \setminus M$.

Elliptically fibered CY3 and CY4: Haghighat+M.+Yau, [HMY17]

The computations in this article suggest that There is a partial compactification $\overline{T} = S \cup T$ of T such that the modular vector fields are tangent to *S* and, restricted to *S* they have solutions by modular forms.

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

CY3: Alim+M.+Scheidegger+Yau, [AMSY16]

There are unique vector fields R_k , $k = 1, 2, ..., h := h^{21}$ in T and unique $Y_{ijk} \in \mathcal{O}_T$, i, j, k = 1, 2, ..., h symmetric in i, j, k such that

$$\mathsf{A}_{\mathsf{R}_{k}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \delta_{k}^{j} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & Y_{kij} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \delta_{k}^{j} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{10}$$

Further

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{R}_{i_1} \, Y_{i_2 i_3 i_4} &= \mathsf{R}_{i_2} \, Y_{i_1 i_3 i_4}. \end{aligned} \tag{11} \\ \dim(\mathsf{T}) &= \mathsf{h} + \frac{3\mathsf{h}^2 + 5\mathsf{h} + 4}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ののの

Abelian varieties: [Mov20], T. Fonseca 2017

There are unique vector fields v_{ij} , $i, j = 1, 2, ..., n, i \le j$ defined over \mathbb{Q} in the moduli space T of enhanced principally polarized abelian varieties such that the Gauss-Manin connection $A_{v_{ij}}$ is the constant matrix C_{ij} , where all the entries of C_{ij} are zero except (i, n + j) and (j, n + i) entries which are -1. In other words, the Gauss-Manin connection ∇ satisfies

$$abla_{\mathbf{v}_{ij}} \alpha_i = -\alpha_{\mathbf{n}+j}, \ \ \nabla \alpha_j = -\alpha_{\mathbf{n}+i}, \quad i, j = 1, 2 \dots, \mathbf{n}$$

and $\nabla_{v_{ij}}\alpha_k = 0$ otherwise.

Action of G on T:

In all these cases there is an algebraic group G acting on T which corresponds to base change in cohomology, and for CY3 it plays an essential role in the algebraic BCOV anomaly equation. Its Lie algebra Lie(G) can be embedded into the set of (global) vector fields in T:

$$\mathfrak{g}\mapsto V_{\mathfrak{g}}.$$

Therefore, we can talk about

$$abla_{v_{\mathfrak{g}}}, \ , \ \mathfrak{g} \in \operatorname{Lie}(\mathsf{G}).$$

It turn out that

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{v}_{\mathfrak{g}}}\alpha = \mathfrak{g}^{\mathsf{tr}}\alpha.$$

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

G and Lie(G) for mirror quintic: [Mov17]

There are unique vector fields R_i , i = 0, 1, 2..., 6 in T and a unique regular function Y on T such that $\nabla_{R_i} \alpha = A_{R_i} \alpha$, where

AMSY Lie algebra

Lie brackets of R_i's.

	R ₀	R ₁	R ₂	R ₃	R ₄	R ₅	R ₆
R ₀	0	R ₀	-R ₀	$-R_{2} + R_{1}$	$Y \cdot R_1$	$2R_4 + Y \cdot R_3$	R ₅
R ₁	$-R_0$	0	0	R ₃	$-2R_4$	-R ₅	0
R ₂	R ₀	0	0	-R ₃	0	-R ₅	-2R ₆
R ₃	$R_2 - R_1$	-R ₃	R ₃	0	$-R_5$	-2R ₆	0
R ₄	$-Y \cdot R_1$	2R ₄	0	R ₅	0	0	0
R ₅	$-2R_4 - Y \cdot R_3$	R ₅	R ₅	2R ₆	0	0	0
R ₆	-R ₅	0	2R ₆	0	0	0	0

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● のへぐ

BCOV anomaly for mirror quintic: [Mov17], for arbitrary *CY*3 see [AMSY16]

Apart from the Yukawa coupling, we have the generating function of genus g Gromov-Witten invariants of the generic quintic in \mathbb{P}^4 .

$$\mathsf{F}^{ ext{hol}}_g := \sum_{d=0}^\infty N_{g,d} q^d, \ g \ge 2, \quad \mathsf{F}^{ ext{hol}}_1 := rac{25}{12} \ln q + \sum_{d=1}^\infty N_{1,d} q^d$$

which are called genus g topological string partition function.

$$\mathbf{F}_{1}^{\text{alg}} := \ln(t_{4}^{\frac{25}{12}}(t_{4} - t_{0}^{5})^{\frac{-5}{12}}t_{5}^{\frac{1}{2}}). \tag{12}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{R}_{i}\mathsf{F}_{g}^{\mathrm{alg}} &= 0, \quad i = 1, 3, \\ \mathsf{R}_{2}\mathsf{F}_{g}^{\mathrm{alg}} &= (2g-2)\mathsf{F}_{g}^{\mathrm{alg}}, \\ \mathsf{R}_{4}\mathsf{F}_{g}^{\mathrm{alg}} &= \frac{1}{2}(\mathsf{R}_{0}^{2}\mathsf{F}_{g-1}^{\mathrm{alg}} + \sum_{r=1}^{g-1}\mathsf{R}_{0}\mathsf{F}_{r}^{\mathrm{alg}}\mathsf{R}_{0}\mathsf{F}_{g-r}^{\mathrm{alg}}). \end{aligned}$$
(13)

These collections of equations do not determine $\mathsf{F}_{g}^{\mathrm{alg}}$ uniquely.



M. Alim, H. Movasati, E. Scheidegger, and S.-T. Yau.

Gauss-Manin connection in disguise: Calabi-Yau threefolds. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 334(3):889–914, 2016.



B. Haghighat, H. Movasati, and S.-T. Yau.

Calabi-Yau modular forms in limit: Elliptic Fibrations. Communications in Number Theory and Physics, 11:879–912, 2017.



H. Movasati and Y. Nikdelan.

Gauss-Manin Connection in Disguise: Dwork Family. *ArXiv e-prints*, March 2016.



H. Movasati.

Quasi-modular forms attached to elliptic curves, I. Ann. Math. Blaise Pascal, 19(2):307–377, 2012.



H. Movasati.

Modular-type functions attached to mirror quintic Calabi-Yau varieties. *Math. Zeit.*, 281, Issue 3, pp. 907-929(3):907–929, 2015.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ののの



H. Movasati.

Gauss-Manin connection in disguise: Calabi-Yau modular forms. Surveys of Modern Mathematics, Int. Press, Boston., 2017.



H. Movasati.

Modular and Automorphic Forms & Beyond. Book under preparation, see author's webpage. 2020.