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#### Abstract

Let $M$ be a complex projective manifold of dimension $n+1$ and $f$ a meromorphic function on $M$ obtained by a generic pencil of hyperplane sections of $M$. The $n$-th cohomology vector bundle of $f_{0}=\left.f\right|_{M-\mathcal{R}}$, where $\mathcal{R}$ is the set of indeterminacy points of $f$, is defined on the set of regular values of $f_{0}$ and we have the usual Gauss-Manin connection on it. Following Brieskorn's methods in $[\mathbf{B r}]$, we extend the $n$-th cohomology vector bundle of $f_{0}$ and the associated Gauss-Manin connection to $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ by means of differential forms. The new connection turns out to be meromorphic on the critical values of $f_{0}$. We prove that the meromorphic global sections of the vector bundle with poles of arbitrary order at $\infty \in \mathbb{P}^{1}$ is isomorphic to the Brieskorn module of $f$ in a natural way, and so the Brieskorn module in this case is a free $\mathbb{C}[t]$-module of rank $\beta_{n}$, where $\mathbb{C}[t]$ is the ring of polynomials in $t$ and $\beta_{n}$ is the dimension of $n$-th cohomology group of a regular fiber of $f_{0}$.


## 0 Introduction

The algebraic description of the monodromy of a germ of an isolated singularity $f:\left(\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, 0\right) \rightarrow(\mathbb{C}, 0)$ was done by E. Brieskorn in $[\mathrm{Br}]$. In this article he considers the Milnor fibration associated to $f$ and then the $n$-th cohomology vector bundle $\mathcal{H}$ of $f$ over a punctured neighborhood of $0 \in \mathbb{C}$ and the associated Gauss-Manin connection. Then he constructs three extension of this vector bundle (the sheaf of its holomorphic sections) $\mathcal{H},{ }^{\prime} \mathcal{H},{ }^{\prime \prime} \mathcal{H}$ by means of holomorphic forms in $\left(\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, 0\right)$. He constructs them up to torsions which may appear in the stalk over zero but later M. Sebastiani in $[\mathbf{S e}]$ proves that there is no torsion and so Brieskorn's extension is complete. By a slight modification of his argument we can obtain a meromorphic connection $\nabla: V \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathbb{C}, 0}^{1}(k 0) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}, 0}} V$ which is the Gauss-Manin connection of the Milnor fibration in $(\mathbb{C}, 0)-\{0\}$. Here $V$ stands for one of $\mathcal{H},{ }^{\prime} \mathcal{H}$ and ${ }^{\prime \prime} \mathcal{H}, k$ is the smallest number with this property that the multiplication by $f^{k}$ induces the zero map in the Jacobi algebra $\frac{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^{n+1,0}}}{\left\langle f_{x_{i}} i=1, \ldots, n+1\right\rangle}$ of $f$ and $\Omega_{\mathbb{C}, 0}^{1}(k 0)$ is the sheaf of meromorphic 1-forms in ( $\mathbb{C}, 0$ ) with poles of order at most $k$ at 0 . The stalk of $\mathcal{H},{ }^{\prime} \mathcal{H},{ }^{\prime \prime} \mathcal{H}$ over $0 \in(\mathbb{C}, 0)$, namely $H,{ }^{\prime} H,{ }^{\prime \prime} H$, are called Brieskorn modules and they are very useful objects in singularity theory. They are freely generated $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}, 0}-$ modules of rank $\mu$, where $\mu$ is the Milnor number of $f$.

[^0]We consider a projective manifold $M$ of dimension $n+1$ and we intersect it by a pencil of hyperplanes (see for instance Lamotke's article [La] for the definitions concerning a pencil). We can define a holomorphic map $f_{0}$ in $M-\mathcal{R}$, where $\mathcal{R}$ is the intersection of the axis $A$ of the pencil with $M$, such that $\overline{f_{0}^{-1}(t)}$ 's are hyperplane sections. We assume that $A$ intersects $M$ transversally and $f_{0}$ has only isolated singularities. Now $f_{0}$ is a $C^{\infty}$ fiber bundle over $\mathbb{P}^{1}-C$, where $C$ is the set of critical values of $f_{0}$. Therefore we have the cohomology fiber bundle over $\mathbb{P}^{1}-C$ and the associated Gauss-Manin connection.

In the above context we will generalize Brieskorn methods as follows: We make a blow up $\pi: V \rightarrow M$ along $\mathcal{R}$ and we obtain our extensions by means of meromorphic forms in $V$ with poles of arbitrary order along $\pi^{-1}(\mathcal{R})$. In this way we obtain three analytic sheaves $\mathcal{H}^{n},{ }^{\prime} \mathcal{H}^{n},{ }^{\prime \prime} \mathcal{H}^{n}$ on $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ and connections $\nabla: W \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}^{1}(\tilde{C}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{1}}} W$. Here $W$ stands for one of $\mathcal{H}^{n},{ }^{\prime} \mathcal{H}^{n}$ and ${ }^{\prime \prime} \mathcal{H}^{n}, \tilde{C}$ is a divisor in $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ with support at the critical values of $f_{0}$ and $\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}^{1}(\tilde{C})$ is the sheaf of meromorphic 1-forms in $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ with pole divisor less than or equal $\tilde{C}$.

Our main result in this article is that $W$ is a locally free sheaf of rank $\beta_{n}$ over $\mathbb{P}^{1}$, where $\beta_{n}$ is the dimension of the $n$-th cohomology of a regular fiber of $f_{0}$, and there is a canonical isomorphism between $\left.W\right|_{\mathbb{P}^{1}-C}$ and the $n$-th cohomology vector bundle of $f_{0}$ over $\mathbb{P}^{1}-C$ and $\nabla$ is the Gauss-Manin connection by this isomorphism. Then we introduce global Brieskorn modules $H^{n},{ }^{\prime} H^{n},{ }^{\prime \prime} H^{n}$ in our context. They are $\mathbb{C}[t]$-modules, where $\mathbb{C}[t]$ is the ring of polynomials in $t$, and we prove that there is a $\mathbb{C}[t]$-module isomorphism between $H^{n}$ (resp. ' $H^{n}$ and " $H^{n}$ ) and the module of global meromorphic sections of $\mathcal{H}^{n}$ (resp. ${ }^{\prime} \mathcal{H}^{n}$ and ${ }^{\prime \prime} \mathcal{H}^{n}$ ) with poles of arbitrary order at $\infty \in \mathbb{P}^{1}$.

In the lower dimension $i<n$ there is no vanishing cycle and the monodromy around a critical value is identity. It is not difficult to see that in this dimension the cohomology of the critical fiber gives us the desired extension and the Gauss-Manin connection on the $i$-th cohomology vector bundle is holomorphic even in the critical value. This implies that the obtained vector bundle is trivial. However, we construct this extension by means of meromorphic forms.

The notion of global Brieskorn modules has been considered recently by many people, see for instance C. Sabbah, A. Dimca, M. Saito and P. Bonnet's works [Sa1], [DS], [BD]. As an immediate consequence of our last result we prove that $H^{n}$ and ${ }^{\prime} H^{n}$ and " $H^{n}$ are freely generated $\mathbb{C}[t]$-modules of rank $\beta_{n}$. This result was already known by C. Sabbah in [Sa1]. In the context of differential equations $(\mathrm{n}=1)^{\prime} H^{1}$ appears in the works of G.S. Petrov for polynomials of the type $y^{2}+P(x)$ in $\mathbb{C}^{2}$ and is called Petrov module by L. Gavrilov. (see [Ga2] p. 572). Recently some applications of this module in differential equations have been introduced by the author of these lines in [Mo2].

Brieskorn module ' $H$ in differential equations: Consider the case $M=\mathbb{P}^{2}$ and $f=\frac{F}{G}$, where $F$ and $G$ are two polynomials of the same degree in an affine coordinate $\mathbb{C}^{2}$ of $\mathbb{P}^{2}$. Assume that $F=0$ intersects $G=0$ transversally and the critical points of $\frac{F}{G}$ are non-degenerate with distinct images. Consider the foliation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}_{\epsilon}: d f+\epsilon . \omega=0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\omega$ is a meromorphic 1 -form in $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ with poles of arbitrary order along $G=0$. Let $\left\{\delta_{t}\right\}_{t \in(\mathbb{C}, 0)}$ be a continuous family of vanishing cycles. We call $h(t):=\int_{\delta_{t}} \omega$ an Abelian integral. If $h(t) \not \equiv 0$ then the cycle $\delta_{t_{0}}$ persists in being cycle after this deformation if and only if $h\left(t_{0}\right)=0$. Therefore the study of the number of limit cycles appearing from $\delta_{t}$ 's after the deformation $\mathcal{F}_{t}$ leads to the study of the zeros of Abelian integrals. In [Mo] (see also [Mo1]) it is shown that if $h(t) \equiv 0$ then $\omega=P d f+d Q$, where $P$ and $Q$ are two meromorphic functions in $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ with poles of arbitrary order along $G=0$. Therefore $\omega=0$ in $^{\prime} H^{1}$ and ' $H^{1}$ represents the space of deformations (1) for which the birth of limit cycles can be studied by Abelian integrals.

Now let us explain the structure of this article. In § 1 we have explained in details the extension of the cohomology vector bundles of $f_{0}$ to the critical values of $f_{0}$ and the associated Gauss-Manin connection to a meromorphic connection. Theorem 1.1 which is the central result in this article is stated there together with Theorem 1.2. The reader who is interested only on the construction and the main results is invited to read only this section. Theorem 1.2 is proved in § 2. The terminology and propositions in Appendix A are used in this section. § 3 is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.1. The proof can be considered as a kind of variational Atiyah-Hodge theorem, therefore it is recommended to the reader to know the proof of this theorem stated in $[\mathbf{N r}]$.

Perhaps three appendices for this article is too many, but in each of them we have obtained some partial results which we need them in this article and I did not find them in the literature. In Appendix A we have listed some necessary concepts and theorems in complex geometry. The first result is A. 10 which is a kind of Kodaira vanishing theorem for direct limit of coherent sheaves. A. 16 is the main result in this appendix. It is a kind of variational Kodaira vanishing theorem and is frequently used in this article. After doing a blow-up in the indeterminacy locus of our pencil we obtain a holomorphic map $g: V \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}$ and a divisor $A$ in $V$, in such a way that the intersection of $A$ with a regular fiber is a positive divisor in that fiber. A. 16 claims that $R^{i} g_{*} \mathcal{S}(* A)=0, i>0$, where $\mathcal{S}$ is a coherent sheaf in $V$ and $\mathcal{S}(* A)$ is the sheaf of meromorphic sections of $\mathcal{S}$ with poles of arbitrary order along $A$. Note that $g$ has critical fibers. In Appendix B we list some information about the topology of the fibers of $f_{0}$. Any kind of singularities can appear in our pencil therefore we had to combine some technics of $[\mathbf{L a}]$ and $[\mathbf{A G V}]$ to obtain B.1, the main result of this appendix. In particular we prove that a distinguished basis of vanishing cycles in the singularities with a same value must be linearly independent. Let us consider the restriction map from a global Brieskorn module of a pencil to a local Brieskorn module of a singularity of the pencil. It is believed that this map is surjective but I was not able to prove this fact. In Appendix $C$ we prove C. 3 which says that the local Brieskorn module divided by the image of the mentioned map is a vector space of finite dimension. This easily implies that the image of the mentioned map is a freely generated $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}, 0}$-module, the statement of C.2.

I would like to thank my teachers S. Shahshahani, C. Camacho, A. Lins Neto, and P. Sad for their support and interest. I would like to thank also C. Hertling, S. Lu and Y. Holla for many useful conversations. The first draft of this article is obtained
in IMPA-Brazil and IPM-Iran. The final version is obtained in MPIM-Bonn. Here I acknowledge my sincere thanks to all these institutes for hospitality.

## 1 Extension of the cohomology vector bundle and the Gauss-Manin connection

Let $M$ be a complex projective manifold of dimension $n+1,\left\{M_{t}\right\}_{t \in \mathbb{P}^{1}}$ a pencil of hyperplane sections of $M$ and $f$ the meromorphic function on $M$ whose level sets are $M_{t}$ 's. Suppose that the axis of the pencil intersects $M$ transversally (see [La]). This implies that the set of indeterminacy points $\mathcal{R}$ of $f$ is a smooth submanifold of $M$ of codimension two and every two $M_{t}$ 's intersect each other transversally in $\mathcal{R}$. Define $f_{0}:=\left.f\right|_{M-\mathcal{R}}$ and $L_{t}:=M_{t}-\mathcal{R}=f_{0}^{-1}(t)$. We assume that the critical points of $f_{0}$ are isolated and we denote by $C=\left\{c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3}, \ldots, c_{r}\right\}$ the set of critical values of $f_{0}$. Note that a critical fiber $M_{c_{j}}$ may have more than one critical point. Put

$$
\beta_{i}=\operatorname{dim}\left(H^{i}\left(L_{t}, \mathbb{C}\right)\right), t \in \mathbb{P}^{1}-C, 0 \leq i \leq n
$$

$f_{0}$ is a $C^{\infty}$ fibration over $\mathbb{P}^{1}-C$ (see for instance $[\mathbf{L a}]$ ) and so $\beta_{i}$ is independent of $t$.

The set $\tilde{H}^{i}=\cup_{t \in \mathbb{P}^{1}-C} H_{\tilde{i}}^{i}\left(L_{t}, \mathbb{C}\right)$ has a natural structure of a complex manifold and the natural projection $\tilde{H}^{i} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}-C$ is a holomorphic vector bundle map which is called the $i$-th cohomology vector bundle. Let $\mathbb{C}_{M-\mathcal{R}}$ be the sheaf of constant functions in $M-\mathcal{R}$ and $R^{i} f_{0 *} \mathbb{C}_{M-\mathcal{R}}$ be the $i$-th direct image of the sheaf $\mathbb{C}_{M-\mathcal{R}}$ (see [GrRe] and Appendix A). Any element of $R^{i} f_{0 *} \mathbb{C}_{M-\mathcal{R}}(U), U$ being an open set in $\mathbb{P}^{1}-C$, is a holomorphic section of the cohomology vector bundle map and is called a constant section. It is easy to verify that

$$
\mathcal{O}\left(\tilde{H}^{i}\right) \cong R^{i} f_{0 *} \mathbb{C}_{M-\mathcal{R}} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}-C}
$$

where $\mathcal{O}\left(\tilde{H}^{i}\right)$ denotes the sheaf of holomorphic sections of $\tilde{H}^{i}$. We define the sheaf

$$
\tilde{\mathcal{H}}^{i}=R^{i} f_{0 *} \mathbb{C}_{M-\mathcal{R}} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}-C}, 0 \leq i \leq n
$$

The Gauss-Manin connection on $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}^{i}$ is given by

$$
\begin{gather*}
\nabla: \tilde{\mathcal{H}}^{i} \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{1}-C}^{1} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}^{1}-C} \tilde{\mathcal{H}}^{i}  \tag{2}\\
\nabla(g \otimes c)=d g \otimes c, c \in R^{i} f_{0 *} \mathbb{C}_{M-\mathcal{R}}(U), g \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}-C}(U)
\end{gather*}
$$

where $U$ is an open set in $\mathbb{P}^{1}-C$. Now we have the problem of extension of $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}^{i}$ to a locally free sheaf on $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ and $\nabla$ to a (meromorphic) connection (with possible poles in $C$ ) defined in the extended sheaf. We could define $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}^{i}$ and $\nabla$ in the whole $\mathbb{P}^{1}$. In B. 1 Appendix B we have proved that $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}^{i}, 0 \leq i<n$ is a locally free sheaf of rank $\beta_{i}$ in $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ and so in this case there is no serious problem. But in the case $i=n$ we have the notion of vanishing cycle in a critical point of $f_{0}$ and so the definition (2) does not give us the desired extension. Using Brieskorn's ideas we are going to construct some extensions and reconstruct $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}^{i}$ 's by means of meromorphic forms.

Let $\pi: V \rightarrow M$ be the blow-up along $\mathcal{R}$ (see [La]). $V$ is a smooth manifold and $g=\pi \circ f$ is a well-defined holomorphic function in $V$. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
A:=\pi^{-1}(\mathcal{R}) \cong \mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathcal{R}, \quad V_{t}:=g^{-1}(t)=\pi^{-1}\left(M_{t}\right), \quad L_{t} \cong V_{t}-A \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Each fiber $V_{t}$ intersects $A$ transversally in $\{t\} \times \mathcal{R}$. For $U \subset \mathbb{P}^{1}$ we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{U}:=g^{-1}(U), L_{U}:=V_{U}-A \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\tilde{\Omega}^{i}$ be the sheaf of holomorphic $i$-forms in $V$ and $\tilde{\Omega}^{i}(* A)$ be the sheaf of its meromorphic sections with poles of arbitrary order along $A$. Let also $\Omega^{i}$ be the direct image by $g$ of $\tilde{\Omega}^{i}(* A)$, i.e. $\Omega^{i}=g_{*} \tilde{\Omega}^{i}(* A)$. The following sheaf is well-defined

$$
\Omega_{V / \mathbb{P}^{1}}^{i}=\frac{\Omega^{i}}{\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}^{1} \wedge \Omega^{i-1}}
$$

We have the following long sequence:

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \xrightarrow{d^{-1}} \Omega_{V / \mathbb{P}^{1}}^{0} \xrightarrow{d^{0}} \Omega_{V / \mathbb{P}^{1}}^{1} \xrightarrow{d^{1}} \cdots \xrightarrow{d^{n-1}} \Omega_{V / \mathbb{P}^{1}}^{n} \xrightarrow{d^{n}} \Omega_{V / \mathbb{P}^{1}}^{n+1} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We define

$$
\mathcal{H}^{i}=\frac{\text { kerd }^{i}}{\text { Imd }^{i-1}}
$$

Note that $\Omega_{V / \mathbb{P}^{1}}^{i}$ are $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}$-module and the differential operators $d^{i}$ 's are $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}$ linear and so the cohomology sheaves $\mathcal{H}^{i}$ 's are $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}$-modules. We also define the sheaves:

$$
{ }^{\prime} \mathcal{H}^{n}=\frac{\Omega^{n}}{\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}^{1} \wedge \Omega^{n-1}+d \Omega^{n-1}},{ }^{\prime \prime} \mathcal{H}^{n}=\frac{\Omega^{n+1}}{\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}^{1} \wedge d \Omega^{n}}
$$

From now on the index $i$ stands for $0,1,2, \ldots, n,{ }^{\prime} n,{ }^{\prime \prime} n$. For instance if $i=^{\prime} n$ then $\mathcal{H}^{i}={ }^{\prime} \mathcal{H}^{n}$ and $\beta_{i}=\beta_{n}$. To construct connections on $\mathcal{H}^{i}$ 's we need the following lemmas. The key of the proof in both lemmas is A. 16 in Appendix A. Let $c \in \mathbb{P}^{1}$, $U$ a small open disk around $c$ and $t$ a regular holomorphic function in $U$.

Lemma 1.1. (generalized de Rham lemma) An element $\omega \in \Omega^{i}(U), i \leq n$ is of the form $d t \wedge \eta, \eta \in \Omega^{i-1}(U)$ if and only if $d t \wedge \omega=0$.

Proof. It is enough to prove that if $d t \wedge \omega=0$ then $\omega$ is of the form $d t \wedge \eta, \eta \in \Omega^{i-1}(U)$. By de Rham lemma (see [Br], p. 110) we can write

$$
\omega=d t \wedge \eta_{\alpha}, \eta_{\alpha} \in \tilde{\Omega}^{i-1}(* A)\left(U_{\alpha}\right)
$$

where $\left\{U_{\alpha}\right\}_{\alpha \in I}$ is an open covering of $V_{U}$. Now $\left\{\eta_{\alpha}-\eta_{\beta}\right\}_{\alpha, \beta \in I}$ is an element of $H^{1}\left(V_{U}, \mathcal{S}(* A)\right)$, where

$$
\mathcal{S}=\operatorname{Ker}\left(\tilde{\Omega}^{i-1} \xrightarrow{d t \wedge} \tilde{\Omega}^{i}\right)
$$

By A. $16 H^{1}\left(V_{U}, \mathcal{S}(* A)\right)=0$ and so we can find $\eta_{\alpha}^{\prime} \in \mathcal{S}(* A)\left(U_{\alpha}\right)$ such that $\eta_{\alpha}-\eta_{\beta}=$ $\eta_{\alpha}^{\prime}-\eta_{\beta}^{\prime}$. The $(i-1)$-form $\left.\eta\right|_{U_{\alpha}}=\eta_{\alpha}-\eta_{\alpha}^{\prime}$ satisfies $\omega=d t \wedge \eta$ and is the desired ( $i-1$ )-form.

Lemma 1.2. $\Omega_{V / \mathbb{P}^{1}}^{n+1}$ is a discrete sheaf with support at $C$. The stalk of $\Omega_{V / \mathbb{P}^{1}}^{n+1}$ over $c_{j} \in C$ is a vector space of dimension $\mu_{c_{j}}$, where $\mu_{c_{j}}$ is the sum of Milnor numbers of the singularities of $f$ within $L_{c_{j}}$. In particular there is a natural number $k_{j}$ such that $\left(f-c_{j}\right)^{k_{j}} \Omega_{V / \mathbb{P}^{1}}^{n+1}$ is zero in $c_{j}$.

Proof. Put $\mu_{c}=0$ if $c$ is not a critical value of $f$. We know that

$$
\left(\tilde{\Omega}_{V / \mathbb{P}^{1}}^{n+1}\right)_{x}:=\left(\frac{\tilde{\Omega}^{n+1}}{g^{*} \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}^{1} \wedge \tilde{\Omega}^{n}}\right)_{x}=
$$

(6) $\quad \begin{cases}\mathbb{C}^{\mu_{x}} & x \text { is a critical point of } g \text { with the Milnor number } \mu_{x} \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}$

By A. $16 H^{1}\left(V_{U}, g^{*}\left(\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}^{1}\right) \wedge \tilde{\Omega}^{n}(* A)\right)=0$ and so

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Omega_{V / \mathbb{P}^{1}}^{n+1}(U)=\frac{H^{0}\left(V_{U}, \tilde{\Omega}^{n+1}(* A)\right)}{H^{0}\left(V_{U}, g^{*}\left(\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}^{1}\right) \wedge \tilde{\Omega}^{n}(* A)\right)}=H^{0}\left(V_{U}, \frac{\tilde{\Omega}^{n+1}(* A)}{g^{*}\left(\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}^{1}\right) \wedge \tilde{\Omega}^{n}(* A)}\right)= \\
H^{0}\left(V_{U}, \frac{\tilde{\Omega}^{n+1}}{g^{*}\left(\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}^{1}\right) \wedge \tilde{\Omega}^{n}}(* A)\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

Since (6) is a discrete sheaf with support at $V-A$, we conclude that

$$
\Omega_{V / \mathbb{P}^{1}}^{n+1}(* A)(U)=\oplus_{x \in V_{c}}\left(\tilde{\Omega}_{V / \mathbb{P}^{1}}^{n+1}\right)_{x}=\mathbb{C}^{\mu_{c}}
$$

where $x$ runs through all critical points of $f$ within $V_{c}$.
We put $k_{j}$ the minimum number with the property in Lemma 1.2. For every critical point $p$ in the fiber $V_{c_{j}}$ there exists a natural number $k_{p}$ depending only on the type of the critical point $p$ such that $(g-c)^{k_{p}}\left(\tilde{\Omega}_{V / \mathbb{P}^{1}}^{n+1}\right)$ is zero in $p$ (see $[\mathbf{B r}]$, p. 110 and 125). Choose always the minimum $k_{p}$. We have $k_{j}=\max _{p}\left\{k_{p}\right\}$, where $p$ runs through all critical points of $f$ within $L_{c_{j}}$.

Consider the sheaf $\mathcal{H}^{i}, 1 \leq i \leq n-1$. Let $[\omega] \in \mathcal{H}^{i}(U)$. We can write $d \omega=$ $d t \wedge \eta, \eta \in \Omega^{i}(U)$. We have $d t \wedge d \eta=0$ and so by Lemma 1.1 we have $d \eta=d t \wedge \eta^{\prime}$ for some $\eta^{\prime} \in \Omega^{i}(U)$. Therefore we can define the following connection:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\nabla: \mathcal{H}^{i} \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}^{1} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}} \mathcal{H}^{i} \\
\nabla[\omega]=d t \otimes[\eta], d \omega=d t \wedge \eta
\end{gathered}
$$

Now we are going to define the connections

$$
\nabla: \mathcal{H}^{i} \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}^{1}(\tilde{C}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}} \mathcal{H}^{i}, i=n,,^{\prime} n,{ }^{\prime \prime} n
$$

where $\tilde{C}=\sum k_{j} c_{j}$ and $\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}^{1}(\tilde{C})$ is the sheaf of meromorphic sections of $\mathcal{H}^{n}$ with a pole of order at most $k_{j}$ at $c_{j}$. The reader is referred to $[\mathbf{E V 1}]$ for more informations about meromorphic connections on sheaves on $\mathbb{P}^{1}$.

Let us define $p(t)$ in $U$ as follows: $p(t)=1$ if $U \subset \mathbb{P}^{1}-C$ and $p(t)=\left(t-c_{j}\right)^{k_{j}}$ if $U$ is an open disk around $c_{j}$. Let $[\omega] \in \mathcal{H}^{n}(U)$. We can write $d \omega=d t \wedge \eta, \eta \in \Omega^{n}(U)$.

Since $d \eta$ may not be of the form $d t \wedge \eta^{\prime}, \eta^{\prime} \in \Omega^{n}(U)$, we had to multiply $d \eta$ by $p(t)$ and therefore by Lemma 1.2 we have:

$$
d(p(t) \eta)=p(t) d \eta+p^{\prime}(t) d t \wedge \eta=d t \wedge \eta^{\prime}+p^{\prime}(t) d t \wedge \eta, \eta^{\prime} \in \Omega^{n}(U)
$$

Therefore we can define

$$
\nabla[\omega]=\frac{d t}{p(t)} \otimes\left[\eta^{\prime}\right], \eta^{\prime}=p(t) \eta, d \omega=d t \wedge \eta
$$

In a similar way for $[\omega] \in^{\prime} \mathcal{H}^{n}(U)$

$$
\nabla[\omega]=\frac{d t}{p(t)} \otimes[\eta], p(t) d \omega=d t \wedge \eta
$$

and for $[\omega] \in{ }^{\prime \prime} \mathcal{H}^{n}(U)$ we have

$$
\nabla[\omega]=\frac{d t}{p(t)} \otimes[d \eta], p(t) \omega=d t \wedge \eta
$$

It is not difficult to see that these definitions are well-defined and do not depend on the choice of the coordinate $t$ and the choice of $\omega$ in the class [ $\omega]$. From now on we use the notation $\omega$ instead of $[\omega]$. The main theorem of this article is:

Theorem 1.1. $\mathcal{H}^{i}, i=0,1, \ldots, n,{ }^{\prime} n,{ }^{\prime \prime} n$ is a locally free sheaf of rank $\beta_{i}$ on $\mathbb{P}^{1}$. The natural map $\mathcal{H}^{i} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{H}}^{i}$ in $\mathbb{P}^{1}-C$ which is obtained by the restriction of differential forms to the fibers of $g$ induces an isomorphism between $\left(\mathcal{H}^{i}, \nabla\right)$ and $\left(\tilde{\mathcal{H}}^{i}, \nabla\right)$.

We have used the convention $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}^{i}=\tilde{\mathcal{H}}^{n}$ for $i={ }^{\prime} n,{ }^{\prime \prime} n$. If we consider only one fiber $V_{t}$ then by Atiyah-Hodge theorem (see A.17) we know that meromorphic differential forms in $V_{t}$ with poles of arbitrary order along $A \cap V_{t}$ give us the cohomology groups of $V_{t}-A$. This shows that the above theorem in $\mathbb{P}^{1}-C$ is a natural statement. Main difficulty in the proof of the above theorem lies in the critical values of $f$. To prove it we will have to look more precisely to the proof of Atiyah-Hodge theorem stated in $[\mathbf{N r}]$.

Now we can look at $\mathcal{H}^{i}$ as a vector bundle. In the case $i<n$ the obtained connection is holomorphic in $\mathbb{P}^{1}$. This implies that the vector bundle $\mathcal{H}^{i}, i<n$ is a trivial bundle. We have already expected this fact.

Note that the above extensions are not necessarily logarithmic. All logarithmic extension to $C$ are described in $[\mathbf{A B}]$, p. 89 and also in $[\mathbf{E V}],[\mathbf{H e}]$ for arbitrary dimension of the base space. The extensions introduced above have a peculiar property which we are going to explain below:

Choose $p=\infty \in \mathbb{P}^{1}-C$. This implies that $D:=M_{\infty}$ is smooth. Let $t$ be an affine coordinate of $\mathbb{C}=\mathbb{P}^{1}-\{p\}$ and $\bar{\Omega}^{i}(* D)$ be the set of meromorphic $i$-forms in $M$ with poles of arbitrary order along $D$. It is a $\mathbb{C}[t]$-module in a trivial way

$$
p(t) . \omega=p(f) \omega, \omega \in \bar{\Omega}^{i}(* D), p(t) \in \mathbb{C}[t]
$$

where $\mathbb{C}[t]$ is the ring of polynomials in $t$. The set of relative meromorphic $i$-forms with poles of arbitrary order along $D$ is defined as follows:

$$
\bar{\Omega}_{V / \mathbb{P}^{1}}^{i}(* D)=\frac{\bar{\Omega}^{i}(* D)}{d f \wedge \bar{\Omega}^{i-1}(* D)}
$$

The differential operator

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left.d^{i}: \bar{\Omega}_{V / \mathbb{P}^{1}}^{i} * D\right) & \rightarrow \bar{\Omega}_{V / \mathbb{P}^{1}}^{i+1}(* D) \\
\omega_{1} & \rightarrow d \omega_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

is well-defined and $\mathbb{C}[t]$-linear. Now we have the complex of relative meromorphic forms $\left(\bar{\Omega}_{V / \mathbb{P}^{1}}^{*}(* D), d^{*}\right)$ and so we can form the cohomology groups

$$
H^{i}=H^{i}\left(\bar{\Omega}_{V / \mathbb{P}^{1}}^{*}(* D), d^{*}\right)=\frac{\operatorname{Ker}\left(d^{i}\right)}{\operatorname{Im}\left(d^{i-1}\right)}, d^{-1}=0
$$

$H^{i}$ is called the $i$-th relative cohomology of $M$ with respect to $f$. It is easy to see that $H^{0}=\mathbb{C}[t]$. In dimension $n$ there are two other useful $\mathbb{C}[t]$-modules

$$
' H^{n}=\frac{\bar{\Omega}^{n}(* D)}{d f \wedge \bar{\Omega}^{n-1}(* D)+d \bar{\Omega}^{n-1}(* D)},{ }^{\prime \prime} H^{n}=\frac{\bar{\Omega}^{n+1}(* D)}{d f \wedge d \bar{\Omega}^{n}(* D)}
$$

The $\mathbb{C}[t]$-modules $H^{n}, H^{\prime n}, H^{\prime \prime n}$ were introduced by Brieskorn in $[\mathbf{B r}]$ for a germ of a holomorphic function $f:\left(\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, 0\right) \rightarrow(\mathbb{C}, 0)$ and are also called Global Brieskorn modules (see Appendix C).

We consider the $\mathbb{C}[t]$-morphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{i} \rightarrow H^{0}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}, \mathcal{H}^{i}(* p)\right), i=0,1,2, \ldots, n,{ }^{\prime} n,{ }^{\prime \prime} n \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the morphism of vector spaces

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\Omega}_{V / \mathbb{P}^{1}}^{n+1}(* D) \rightarrow H^{0}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}, \Omega_{V / \mathbb{P}^{1}}^{n+1}(* p)\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

obtained by restrictions to the fibers of $g$, where $\mathcal{H}^{i}(* p)$ denotes the sheaf of meromorphic sections of $\mathcal{H}^{i}$ with poles of arbitrary order at $p$. The next main result in this article is:

Theorem 1.2. The morphisms (7) and (8) are isomorphisms.
Corollary 1.1. Keeping the notations used above, we have

1. $\bar{\Omega}_{V / \mathbb{P}^{1}}^{n+1}(* D)$ is a vector space of dimension $\mu$, where $\mu$ is the sum of local Milnor numbers of $f$;
2. $H^{i}, i=0,1, \ldots, n,{ }^{\prime} n,{ }^{\prime \prime} n$ is a free $\mathbb{C}[t]$ module of rank $\beta_{i}$;

Proof. By Theorem 1.2 the first statement is trivial. For the second one it is enough to prove that $H^{0}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}, \mathcal{H}^{i}(* p)\right)$ is a free $\mathbb{C}[t]$-module of rank $\beta_{i}$. The sheaf $\mathcal{H}^{i}$ is a locally free sheaf of rank $\beta_{i}$ over $\mathbb{P}^{1}$. By Birkhoff-Grothendieck decomposition theorem (see $[\mathbf{G r R e} \mathbf{1}]$ ) there exist integers $n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{\beta_{i}}$ (uniquely determined up to a permutation) such that

$$
\mathcal{H}^{i} \cong \mathcal{O}\left(n_{1} p\right) \oplus \mathcal{O}\left(n_{2} p\right) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{O}\left(n_{\beta_{i}} p\right)
$$

Meromorphic sections of $\mathcal{O}\left(n_{i} p\right)$ with poles of arbitrary order at $p$ is a $\mathbb{C}[t]$-module of rank one and therefore $H^{0}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}, \mathcal{H}^{i}(* p)\right)$ is a free $\mathbb{C}[t]$-module of rank $\beta_{i}$.

If $i<n$ then the vector bundle $\mathcal{H}^{i}$ is trivial and so the numbers $n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{\beta_{i}}$ are zero. It would be interesting, if one tries to understand the nature of the numbers $n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{\beta_{i}}$ by some numerical invariants of the manifold and the singularities of $f_{0}$ in the case $i=n,{ }^{\prime} n,{ }^{\prime \prime} n$.

The above corollary generalizes Brieskorn and Sebastiani's results in $[\mathbf{B r}]$ and $[\mathbf{S e}]$ in the local case $f:\left(\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, 0\right) \rightarrow(\mathbb{C}, 0)$. When I finished this article I was informed that similar results to Corollary 1.1 are obtained by C. Sabbah [Sa1] in the context of algebraic geometry and therefore in view of Serre's GAGA principal it is true in the context of analytic geometry.

## 2 Proof of Theorem 1.2

Recall the notations introduced in (4) and (3). We will also use notations introduced in Appendix A. Let $\tilde{\Omega}^{i}(k A)$ be the sheaf of meromorphic $i$-forms in $V$ with poles of order $\leq k$ along $A$ and $\Omega^{i}(k)=g_{*} \tilde{\Omega}^{i}(k A)$. By Grauert direct image theorem $\Omega^{i}(k)$ is a coherent sheaf and so we have a direct system of coherent sheaves $\left\{\Omega^{i}(k)\right\}_{k}$ (the map $\Omega^{i}(k) \rightarrow \Omega^{i}(k+1)$ is the inclusion). The sheaf $\Omega^{i}=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \Omega^{i}(k)$ is the direct image of $\tilde{\Omega}^{i}(* A)$. The quotient direct system of sheaves

$$
\left\{\Omega_{V / \mathbb{P}^{1}}^{i}(k)\right\}_{k}=\frac{\left\{\Omega^{i}(k)\right\}_{k}}{\left\{\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}^{1} \wedge \Omega^{i-1}(k)\right\}_{k}}
$$

is called the direct system of sheaves of relative meromorphic $i$-forms. We can easily check that the differential operator

$$
d^{i}:\left\{\Omega_{V / \mathbb{P}^{1}}^{i}(k)\right\}_{k} \rightarrow\left\{\Omega_{V / \mathbb{P}^{1}}^{i+1}(k+1)\right\}_{k}, d^{i}(\omega)=d \omega
$$

is well-defined and $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}$-linear. Now we have the following, not necessarily exact, sequence

$$
\left\{\Omega_{V / \mathbb{P}^{1}}^{0}(k)\right\}_{k} \xrightarrow{d^{0}}\left\{\Omega_{V / \mathbb{P}^{1}}^{1}(k+1)\right\}_{k} \xrightarrow{d^{1}} \cdots \xrightarrow{d^{i-1}}\left\{\Omega_{V / \mathbb{P}^{1}}^{i}(k+i)\right\}_{k} \xrightarrow{d^{i}} \cdots
$$

We have the complex of relative meromorphic $i$-forms $\left(\left\{\Omega_{V / \mathbb{P}^{1}}^{*}(k+*)\right\}_{k}, d^{*}\right)$, and so we can form the direct system of cohomology sheaves

$$
\left\{\mathcal{H}^{i}(k)\right\}_{k}=H^{i}\left(\left\{\Omega_{V / \mathbb{P}^{1}}^{*}(k+*)\right\}_{k}, d^{*}\right)=\frac{\left\{\operatorname{Ker}\left(d^{i}\right)\right\}_{k}}{\left\{\operatorname{Im}\left(d^{i-1}\right)\right\}_{k}}, i \geq 0, d^{-1}=0
$$

The differential operators $d^{i}$ 's are $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}$ linear and so $\left\{\mathcal{H}^{i}(k)\right\}_{k}$ is a direct system of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}$-module sheaves. We also define the sheaves:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathcal{H}^{i}=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{H}^{i}(k) \\
\left\{^{\prime} \mathcal{H}^{n}(k)\right\}_{k}=\frac{\left\{\Omega^{n}(k)\right\}_{k}}{\left\{\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}^{1} \wedge \Omega^{n-1}(k)+d \Omega^{n-1}(k-1)\right\}_{k}},{ }^{\prime} \mathcal{H}^{n}=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}{ }^{\prime} \mathcal{H}^{n}(k) \\
{ }^{\prime \prime} \mathcal{H}^{n}(k)=\frac{\left\{\Omega^{n+1}(k)\right\}_{k}}{\left\{d t \wedge d \Omega^{n}(k-1)\right\}_{k}},{ }^{\prime \prime} \mathcal{H}^{n}=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}{ }^{\prime \prime} \mathcal{H}^{n}(k)
\end{gathered}
$$

It is not clear at all that the sheaf $\mathcal{H}^{i}$ is coherent. By properties A. 2 and A. 3 in Appendix A it is not difficult to see that this way of definition of $\mathcal{H}^{i}$, s coincide with the one introduced in the first section.

Theorem 2.1. The sheaves $\mathcal{H}^{i}(k), i=0,1, \ldots, n,{ }^{\prime} n,{ }^{\prime \prime} n, k=0,1,2, \ldots$ are coherent.
Proof. According to Grauert direct image theorem ( Theorem A. 13 in Appendix A) $\Omega^{i}(k)$ is coherent for all finite integer number $k$. Now the coherence of $\mathcal{H}^{i}(k), k \in \mathbb{N}$ can be obtained from the following facts: Let $\mathcal{S}$ and $\mathcal{S}^{\prime}$ be two coherent sheaves on a complex manifold $P$. If $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{S}^{\prime}$ then $\frac{\mathcal{S}}{\mathcal{S}^{\prime}}$ is coherent. If $i: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^{\prime}$ is a $\mathcal{O}_{P}$-linear map then kernel and image of $i$ are coherent sheaves (see [GrRe] p. 236-237).

Now let us prove Theorem 1.2. We only prove the isomorphism (7). The proof of the second is similar. First we observe that

$$
\mathcal{H}^{i}(* p)=\frac{\operatorname{Ker}\left(d^{i}\right)}{\operatorname{Im}\left(d^{i-1}\right)}(* p) \stackrel{A .5}{=} \frac{\operatorname{Ker}\left(d^{i}\right)(* p)}{\operatorname{Im}\left(d^{i-1}\right)(* p)}
$$

The number over an equality means the corresponding property in Appendix A. $\operatorname{Im}\left(d^{i}\right)$ is a direct limit of coherent sheaves and so by A. 10 we have $H^{1}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}, \operatorname{Im}\left(d^{i-1}\right)(* p)\right)=$ 0 and

$$
H^{0}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}, \mathcal{H}^{i}(* p)\right)=H^{0}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}, \frac{\operatorname{Ker}\left(d^{i}\right)(* p)}{\operatorname{Im}\left(d^{i-1}\right)(* p)}\right) \stackrel{A .10}{=} \frac{H^{0}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}, \operatorname{Ker}\left(d^{i}\right)(* p)\right)}{H^{0}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}, \operatorname{Im}\left(d^{i-1}\right)(* p)\right)}
$$

Let

$$
\cdots \rightarrow H^{0}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}, \Omega_{V / \mathbb{P}^{1}}^{i-1}(* p)\right) \xrightarrow{d_{p}^{i-1}} H^{0}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}, \Omega_{V / \mathbb{P}^{1}}^{i}(* p)\right) \xrightarrow{d_{p}^{i}} H^{0}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}, \Omega_{V / \mathbb{P}^{1}}^{i+1}(* p)\right) \rightarrow \cdots
$$

be obtained from (5). By A. 6 and A. 11 we have

$$
\operatorname{Ker}\left(d_{p}^{i}\right)=H^{0}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}, \operatorname{Ker}\left(d^{i}\right)(* p)\right), \operatorname{Im}\left(d_{p}^{i-1}\right)=H^{0}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}, \operatorname{Im}\left(d^{i-1}\right)(* p)\right)
$$

$\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}^{1} \wedge \Omega^{i-1}$ is a direct limit of coherent sheaves, by A. $10 H^{1}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1},\left(\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}^{1} \wedge \Omega^{i-1}\right)(* p)\right)=0$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
H^{0}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}, \Omega_{V / \mathbb{P}^{1}}^{i}(* p)\right)=H^{0}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1},\right. & \left.\frac{\Omega^{i}(* p)}{\left(\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}^{1} \wedge \Omega^{i-1}\right)(* p)}\right) \stackrel{A .10}{=} \frac{H^{0}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}, \Omega^{i}(* p)\right)}{H^{0}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1},\left(\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}^{1} \wedge \Omega^{i-1}\right)(* p)\right)} \stackrel{A .8}{=} \\
& \frac{H^{0}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}, \Omega^{i}(* p)\right)}{\left.d t \wedge H^{0}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}, \Omega^{i-1}(* p)\right)\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $t$ is the chart map for $\mathbb{P}^{1}-\{p\}$ (it has a pole of order one in $p$ ). We have

$$
\Omega^{i}(* p)=\left(g_{*} \tilde{\Omega}^{i}(* A)\right)(* p) \stackrel{A .14}{=} g_{*} \tilde{\Omega}^{i}\left(* A+* V_{p}\right), \pi^{-1}(D)=A+V_{p}
$$

By blow down along $A$ we can see easily that $H^{0}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}, \Omega^{i}(* p)\right) \cong \bar{\Omega}^{i}(* D)$.

## 3 Proof of Theorem 1.1

The arguments of this section can be considered as a variational Atiyah-Hodge theorem (see A. 17 in Appendix A). It is highly recommended to the reader to know the proof of Atiyah-Hodge theorem stated in $[\mathbf{N r}]$. First we will prove the assertion of Theorem 1.1 for $i=0,1, \cdots, n-1,{ }^{\prime} n$. The same statements for $i=n,{ }^{\prime \prime} n$ follows directly.

We have constructed $\mathcal{H}^{i}$ by means of meromorphic forms in $V$ with poles of arbitrary order along $A$. The following lemma enables us to reconstruct it by means of holomorphic forms in $V-A$.

Lemma 3.1. Let $g: X \rightarrow Y$ be a continuous map between paracompact Hausdorff spaces and suppose that two complexes $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{A}^{\prime}$ of Abelian sheaves over $X$ are given together with mappings $h$ such that the diagram

$$
\begin{array}{cccccccccc}
0 & \xrightarrow{d^{\prime-1}} & \mathcal{A}_{0}^{\prime} & \xrightarrow{d^{\prime 0}} & \mathcal{A}_{1}^{\prime} & \xrightarrow{d^{1}} & \mathcal{A}_{2}^{\prime} & \xrightarrow{d^{\prime 2}} & \cdots  \tag{9}\\
h_{0} \downarrow & & h_{1} \downarrow & & h_{2} \downarrow & & \\
0 & \xrightarrow{d^{-1}} & \mathcal{A}_{0} & \xrightarrow{d^{0}} & \mathcal{A}_{1} & \xrightarrow{d^{1}} & \mathcal{A}_{2} & \xrightarrow{d^{2}} & \cdots
\end{array}
$$

is commutative. (The rows are not supposed to be exact, but we have $d \circ d=0$ and $\left.d^{\prime} \circ d^{\prime}=0\right)$. Suppose further that

$$
R^{i} g_{*} \mathcal{A}_{k}=0, R^{i} g_{*} \mathcal{A}_{k}^{\prime}=0, \forall i \geq 1, k \geq 0
$$

and for $k \geq 0 h$ induces isomorphisms of cohomology sheaves

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\operatorname{Ker}\left(d^{\prime k}\right)}{\operatorname{Im}\left(d^{\prime k-1}\right)} \rightarrow \frac{\operatorname{Ker}\left(d^{k}\right)}{\operatorname{Im}\left(d^{k-1}\right)} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $h$ induces isomorphisms

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\operatorname{Ker}\left(d_{*}^{\prime k}\right)}{\operatorname{Im}\left(d_{*}^{\prime k-1}\right)} \rightarrow \frac{\operatorname{Ker}\left(d_{*}^{k}\right)}{\operatorname{Im}\left(d_{*}^{k-1}\right)} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $k \geq 0$, where $d_{*}$ and $d_{*}^{\prime}$ define the sequences

Proof. We have just rewritten Theorem 6.5 of $[\mathbf{N r}]$ in another form.
Let $\mathcal{E}^{i}$ be the sheaf on $V$, which is defined by the presheaf that to every open subset $U$ of $V$ associated the modules of holomorphic $i$-forms in $U-A$. Let also

$$
\mathcal{E}_{V / \mathbb{P}^{1}}^{i}=\frac{\mathcal{E}^{i}}{g^{*} \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}^{1} \wedge \mathcal{E}^{i-1}}
$$

Let $U$ be a small open disk in $\mathbb{P}^{1}$. Since $L_{U}$ is a Stein manifold (see B.1) and the restriction of any Stein covering (see [GrRe]) of $V_{U}$ to $L_{U}$ is again a Stein covering, by Cartan's B theorem we have

$$
R^{j} g_{*} \mathcal{E}_{V / \mathbb{P}^{1}}^{i}=0, j>0
$$

We have the following long sequence:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{A}:=0 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{V / \mathbb{P}^{1}}^{0} \xrightarrow{d^{0}} \mathcal{E}_{V / \mathbb{P}^{1}}^{1} \xrightarrow{d^{1}} \cdots \xrightarrow{d^{n-1}} \mathcal{E}_{V / \mathbb{P}^{1}}^{n} \rightarrow 0 \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recall that $\tilde{\Omega}^{i}(* A)$ is the sheaf of meromorphic $i$-forms in $V$ with poles of arbitrary order along $A$ and

$$
\tilde{\Omega}_{V / \mathbb{P}^{1}}^{i}(* A)=\frac{\tilde{\Omega}^{i}(* A)}{g^{*} \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}^{1} \wedge \tilde{\Omega}^{i-1}(* A)}
$$

By A. 16 we have $R^{j} g_{*} \tilde{\Omega}_{V / \mathbb{P}^{1}}^{i}(* A)=0, j>0$. We have the following long sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{A}^{\prime}:=0 \rightarrow \tilde{\Omega}_{V / \mathbb{P}^{1}}^{0}(* A) \xrightarrow{d^{0}} \tilde{\Omega}_{V / \mathbb{P}^{1}}^{1}(* A) \xrightarrow{d^{1}} \cdots \xrightarrow{d^{\prime n-1}} \tilde{\Omega}_{V / \mathbb{P}^{1}}^{n}(* A) \rightarrow 0 \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we would like to verify the hypothesis of Lemma 3.1 for $\mathcal{A}^{\prime}$ and $\mathcal{A}$. The maps $h$ are inclusions. The only non-trivial hypothesis is the isomorphism (10) in a point $p \in A$. Choose a Stein neighborhood $U$ and a coordinate system $\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, \ldots, z_{n}, t\right)$ around $p \in A$ such that in this system $p=0, A$ is given by $z_{1}=0$ and $L_{t_{0}}$ by $t=t_{0}$. We have proved in C. 5 in Appendix C that in $U$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{\operatorname{Ker}\left(d^{\prime i}\right)}{\operatorname{Im}\left(d^{i-1}\right)}=\frac{\operatorname{Ker}\left(d^{i}\right)}{\operatorname{Im}\left(d^{i-1}\right)}=0, i \geq 2 \\
\frac{\operatorname{Ker}\left(d^{\prime 1}\right)}{\operatorname{Im}\left(d^{\prime}\right)}=\frac{\operatorname{Ker}\left(d^{1}\right)}{\operatorname{Im}\left(d^{0}\right)}=\left\{\left.p(t) \frac{d z_{1}}{z_{1}} \right\rvert\, p(t) \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}, 0}\right\}
\end{gathered}
$$

which proves the desired isomorphism in $p \in A$. The conclusion is that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{H}^{i} \cong \frac{\operatorname{Ker}\left(d_{*}^{i}\right)}{\operatorname{Im}\left(d_{*}^{i-1}\right)}, 0 \leq i \leq n-1,{ }^{\prime} \mathcal{H}^{n} \cong \frac{g_{*} \mathcal{E}_{V / \mathbb{P}^{1}}^{n}}{\operatorname{Im}\left(d_{*}^{n-1}\right)} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \xrightarrow{d_{*}^{-1}} g_{*} \mathcal{E}_{V / \mathbb{P}^{1}}^{0} \xrightarrow{d_{*}^{0}} g_{*} \mathcal{E}_{V / \mathbb{P}^{1}}^{1} \xrightarrow{d_{*}^{1}} \cdots \xrightarrow{d_{*}^{n-1}} g_{*} \mathcal{E}_{V / \mathbb{P}^{1}}^{n} \rightarrow 0 \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 3.2. Let $X$ be a paracompact Hausdorff space and

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow F \xrightarrow{i} F_{0} \xrightarrow{d^{0}} F_{1} \xrightarrow{d^{1}} \cdots \xrightarrow{d^{n-1}} F_{n} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

an exact sequence of sheaves of Abelian groups. Let also $Y$ be another paracompact Hausdorff space and $g: X \rightarrow Y$ a continuous map. Suppose that

$$
\begin{equation*}
R^{q} g_{*} F_{p}=0, \forall q \geq 1, n>p \geq 0 \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
R^{p} g_{*} F \cong \frac{\operatorname{Ker}\left(d_{*}^{p}\right)}{\operatorname{Im}\left(d_{*}^{p-1}\right)}, 0 \leq p<n
$$

and there exists a natural inclusion $R^{n} g_{*} F \rightarrow \frac{g_{*} F_{n}}{\operatorname{Im}\left(d_{*}^{n-1}\right)}$ such that we have

$$
0 \rightarrow R^{n} g_{*} F \rightarrow \frac{g_{*} F_{n}}{\operatorname{Im}\left(d_{*}^{n-1}\right)} \rightarrow \frac{g_{*} F_{n}}{g_{*} \operatorname{Im}\left(d^{n-1}\right)} \rightarrow 0
$$

where $d_{*}^{p}$ 's define the sequence

$$
0 \xrightarrow{d_{*}^{-1}} g_{*} F_{0} \xrightarrow{d_{*}^{0}} g_{*} F_{1} \xrightarrow{d_{*}^{1}} \cdots \xrightarrow{d_{*}^{n-1}} g_{*} F_{n}
$$

Proof. The proof is a slight modification of Lemma 6.3 of $[\mathbf{N r}]$. Put $Z_{p}=\operatorname{Ker}\left(d^{p}\right), 0 \leq$ $p<n$. The first statement is trivial for $p=0$. Therefore let us prove the first statement for $p \geq 1$. The exactness of (17) at $F_{p}$ gives us

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow Z_{p-1} \rightarrow F_{p-1} \rightarrow Z_{p} \rightarrow 0,1 \leq p<n \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we get the long exact sequence

$$
\cdots \rightarrow R^{q} g_{*} F_{p-1} \rightarrow R^{q} g_{*} Z_{p} \rightarrow R^{q+1} g_{*} Z_{p-1} \rightarrow R^{q+1} g_{*} F_{p-1} \rightarrow \cdots
$$

By (18) we conclude that

$$
R^{q} g_{*} Z_{p} \cong R^{q+1} g_{*} Z_{p-1}, 1 \leq p<n, q \geq 1
$$

Since $F \cong Z_{0}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
R^{p} g_{*} F \cong R^{p-1} g_{*} Z_{1} \cong \ldots \cong R^{1} g_{*} Z_{p-1}, 1 \leq p \leq n \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

(19) gives us also

$$
g_{*} F_{p-1} \xrightarrow{d_{d}^{p-1}} g_{*} Z_{p} \rightarrow R^{1} g_{*} Z_{p-1} \rightarrow 0,1 \leq p<n
$$

and thus

$$
R^{1} g_{*} Z_{p-1} \cong \frac{g_{*} Z_{p-1}}{\operatorname{Im}\left(d_{*}^{p-1}\right)}=\frac{\operatorname{Ker}\left(d_{*}^{p}\right)}{\operatorname{Im}\left(d_{*}^{p-1}\right)}, 0 \leq p<n
$$

We have proved the first part of the lemma. Now let us prove the second part. We have the short exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow g_{*} \operatorname{Im}\left(d^{n-1}\right) \rightarrow g_{*} F_{n} \rightarrow \frac{g_{*} F_{n}}{g_{*} \operatorname{Im}\left(d^{n-1}\right)} \rightarrow 0
$$

$\operatorname{Im}\left(d_{*}^{n-1}\right)$ is a subsheaf of both $g_{*} \operatorname{Im}\left(d^{n-1}\right)$ and $g_{*} F_{n}$ so we can rewrite the above exact sequence as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow \frac{g_{*} \operatorname{Im}\left(d^{n-1}\right)}{\operatorname{Imd} d_{*}^{n-1}} \rightarrow \frac{g_{*} F_{n}}{\operatorname{Im}\left(d_{*}^{n-1}\right)} \rightarrow \frac{g_{*} F_{n}}{g_{*} \operatorname{Im}\left(d^{n-1}\right)} \rightarrow 0 \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

The short exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow Z_{n-1} \rightarrow F_{n-1} \rightarrow \text { Imd }^{n-1} \rightarrow 0
$$

gives us

$$
g_{*} F_{n-1} \xrightarrow{d_{*}^{n-1}} g_{*} \operatorname{Im}\left(d^{n-1}\right) \rightarrow R^{1} g_{*} Z_{n-1} \rightarrow 0
$$

Therefore by (20) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
R^{n} g_{*} F=\frac{g_{*} \operatorname{Im}\left(d^{n-1}\right)}{\operatorname{Im}\left(d_{*}^{n-1}\right)} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that for this we do not need to have $R^{q} g_{*} \operatorname{Im}\left(d^{n-1}\right)=0, \forall q \geq 1$. Now (21) and (22) finish the proof.

Since $V-A \stackrel{\pi}{\cong} M-\mathcal{R}$ and $f_{0} \circ \pi=\left.g\right|_{V-A}$, we can use the symbol $f_{0}$ instead of $\left.g\right|_{V-A}$. The following sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow f_{0}^{*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}} \xrightarrow{i} \mathcal{E}_{V / \mathbb{P}^{1}}^{0} \xrightarrow{d^{0}} \mathcal{E}_{V / \mathbb{P}^{1}}^{1} \xrightarrow{d^{1}} \cdots \xrightarrow{d^{n-1}} \mathcal{E}_{V / \mathbb{P}^{1}}^{n} \text { in } V-A \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

is exact even in the critical points of $f_{0}$ (see [ $\left.\mathbf{B r}\right]$, Proposition 1.7, iii). We can apply Lemma 3.2 to the above sequence and obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
R^{i} f_{0 *}\left(f_{0}^{*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}\right) \cong \frac{\operatorname{Ker}\left(d_{*}^{i}\right)}{\operatorname{Im}\left(d_{*}^{i-1}\right)} \cong \mathcal{H}^{i}, i<n \\
0 \rightarrow R^{n} f_{0 *}\left(f_{0}^{*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}\right) \rightarrow \frac{g_{*} \mathcal{E}_{V / \mathbb{P}^{1}}^{n}}{\operatorname{Im}\left(d_{*}^{n-1}\right)} \rightarrow \frac{g_{*} \mathcal{E}_{V / \mathbb{P}^{1}}^{n}}{g_{*} \operatorname{Im}\left(d^{n-1}\right)} \rightarrow 0
\end{aligned}
$$

where $d_{*}^{i}$ is defined in (16).
Let ' $H\left(p_{i}\right)$ be the Brieskorn module of a singularity $p_{i}$ of $g$ (see the first paragraph of Appendix C). Define

$$
\mathcal{C}_{c}= \begin{cases}0 & c \text { is a regular value }  \tag{24}\\ \oplus_{i}{ }^{\prime} H\left(p_{i}\right) & p_{i} \text { 's are the critical points within } L_{c}\end{cases}
$$

Each stalk $\mathcal{C}_{c}$ is a free $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}, c^{-}}$-module of rank $\mu_{c}$. There is defined a natural restriction map

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi:^{\prime} \mathcal{H}^{n} \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

We denote by $\mathcal{C}^{\prime}$ its image. Now fix a critical value $c \in C$. The stalk $\mathcal{C}_{c}^{\prime}$ is a $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}, c^{1}}$-submodule of $\mathcal{C}_{c}$.

Lemma 3.3. We have

$$
\mathcal{C}^{\prime}=\frac{g_{*} \mathcal{E}_{V / \mathbb{P}^{1}}^{n}}{g_{*} \operatorname{Im}\left(d^{n-1}\right)}
$$

Proof. By (15) for $i=n, \mathcal{C}^{\prime}$ is the image of $g_{*} \tilde{\Omega}_{V / \mathbb{P}^{1}}^{n}$ under the projection $g_{*} \tilde{\Omega}_{V / \mathbb{P}^{1}}^{n} \rightarrow$ $\mathcal{C}$. The kernel of this map is exactly $g_{*} \operatorname{Im}\left(d^{n-1}\right)$ and so the proof is finished.

We know that

$$
\left(R^{i} f_{0 *}\left(f_{0}^{*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}\right)\right) \cong\left(R^{i} g_{*} \mathbb{C}_{V-A} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}\right)
$$

(see $[\mathbf{B r}]$ p. 120). Let $\overline{\mathcal{H}}^{i}$ be the above sheaf. By definition we have $\left.\overline{\mathcal{H}}^{i}\right|_{\mathbb{P}^{1}-C}=\tilde{\mathcal{H}}^{i}$. Lemma 3.3 implies that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathcal{H}^{i} \cong \overline{\mathcal{H}}^{i} i<n,\left.\left.{ }^{\prime} \mathcal{H}^{n}\right|_{\mathbb{P}^{1}-C} \cong \overline{\mathcal{H}}^{n}\right|_{\mathbb{P}^{1}-C}  \tag{26}\\
0 \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{H}}^{n} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^{n} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{\prime} \rightarrow 0 \tag{27}
\end{gather*}
$$

(26) and B. 1 imply that $\mathcal{H}^{i}, i<n\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\left.{ }^{\prime} \mathcal{H}^{n}\right|_{\mathbb{P}^{1}-C}\right)$ is a freely generated sheaf of rank $\beta_{i}$ (resp. $\beta_{n}$ ). Now for $c \in C$, since the division of ${ }^{\prime} \mathcal{H}_{c}^{n}$ over the freely generated of rank $\beta_{n}-\mu_{c} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}, c}$-module $\mathcal{H}_{c}^{n}$ (see B.1) is freely generated of rank $\mu_{c}$ (see C.2), we conclude that ${ }^{\prime} \mathcal{H}_{c}^{n}$ is freely generated of rank $\beta_{n}$.

Consider a continuous family $\left\{\delta_{t}\right\}_{t \in U}$ of $i$-dimensional cycles in $g^{-1}(U)-A$ in such a way that $\delta_{t}$ lies in $L_{t}$. For any $\omega \in \Omega^{i}(U)$ the integral $\int_{\delta_{t}} \omega$ is well-defined. Let $\gamma$ be a path in $U$ going around $t$ anti-clockwise and $\Gamma$ be the surface in $V$ formed by the union of curves $\Gamma=\cup_{s \in \gamma} \delta_{s}$. With the above notation we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\delta_{t}} \omega=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{d f \wedge \omega}{f-t}, \quad \frac{d}{d t} \int_{\delta_{t}} \omega=\int_{\delta_{t}} \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial t}} \omega \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the proof of above equalities see [AGV]. By the second formula in (28) we can see that the flat sections of $\nabla$ in $\mathcal{H}^{i}$ go to the flat sections of $\nabla$ in $\overline{\mathcal{H}}^{i}$ by the isomorphism in (26) and we know that this isomorphism is obtained by restriction of $\omega \in \mathcal{H}^{i}(U)$ to the fibers $L_{t}, t \in U$. This implies that this isomorphism sends $\left(\mathcal{H}^{i}, \nabla\right)$ to $\left(\overline{\mathcal{H}}^{i}, \nabla\right)$. The proof of Theorem 1.1 for $i=0,1, \ldots, n-1,{ }^{\prime} n$ is finished.

Now let us prove Theorem 1.1 for $i=n,{ }^{\prime \prime} n$. There is a natural inclusion $\mathcal{H}^{n} \subset^{\prime} \mathcal{H}^{n}$. Let $U$ be a small open disk in $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ and $t$ a regular holomorphic function in $U$. By Lemma 1.1 we have also the inclusion

$$
\left.\left.' \mathcal{H}^{n}\right|_{U} \stackrel{d t \wedge}{ }{ }^{\prime \prime} \mathcal{H}^{n}\right|_{U}
$$

We can see that

$$
\left.\left.\frac{\prime \mathcal{H}^{n}}{\mathcal{H}^{n}}\right|_{U} \cong \Omega_{V / \mathbb{P}^{1}}^{n+1}\right|_{U}
$$

and $\frac{\mathcal{H}^{n}}{\mathcal{H}^{n}} \xrightarrow{d(.)} \frac{\prime \mathcal{H}^{n}}{\mathcal{H}^{n}}$ is an inclusion and so by C. 4 we conclude that $\mathcal{H}^{n},{ }^{\prime \prime} \mathcal{H}^{n}$ are locally free sheaves of rank $\beta_{n}$. If $U \subset \mathbb{P}^{1}-C$ then the above inclusions are isomorphism of sheaves with connections.

By the first part of Corollary 1.1 we know that $\mathcal{C}_{c} / \mathcal{C}_{c}, c \in C$ is a vector space of dimension less than $\mu_{c}$. I believe that it is zero.

## A Complex Geometry

In this appendix we will give all preliminaries in complex analysis and complex geometry used throughout the article. I did not find a book in the literature of
complex analysis containing all of these preliminaries and so I have collected them in this appendix.

In what follows by an analytic sheaf over an analytic variety $V$ we mean a $\mathcal{O}_{V^{-}}$ module sheaf. For a given analytic sheaf $\mathcal{S}$ over $V$, when we write $x \in \mathcal{S}$ we mean that $x$ is a holomorphic section of $\mathcal{S}$ over some open neighborhood in $V$ or it is an element of some stalk of $\mathcal{S}$; being clear from the text which we mean.

Direct Limit Sheaves: Let $\left\{\mathcal{S}_{i}\right\}_{i}$ be a direct system of sheaves i.e.,

$$
\mathcal{S}_{0} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{1} \rightarrow \cdots \mathcal{S}_{i} \rightarrow \cdots
$$

If there is no confusion we write simply $\left\{\mathcal{S}_{i}\right\}$. We define the direct limit of the system, say $\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{S}_{i}$, to be the sheaf associated to the presheaf $U \rightarrow \lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{S}_{i}(U)$. There are defined natural maps $\mathcal{S}_{i} \rightarrow \lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{S}_{i}$.

Let $\mathcal{S}$ be another analytic sheaf and $\left\{\mathcal{S}_{i} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}\right\}$ a collection of compatible analytic homomorphisms. Then there is a unique map $\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{S}_{i} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ such that for each $i$, the original map $\mathcal{S}_{i} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ is obtained by composing the maps $\mathcal{S}_{i} \rightarrow \lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{S}_{i} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$.
A.1. Let $\left\{\mathcal{S}_{i}\right\}$ be a direct system of sheaves and $\left\{\mathcal{S}_{i} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}\right\}$ a collection of compatible maps. Then $\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{S}_{i} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ is an isomorphism if and only if

1. For any $x \in \mathcal{S}$ there exist $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x_{i} \in \mathcal{S}_{i}$ such that $x_{i} \rightarrow x$;
2. If there exist $i_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$ and a sequence $x_{i_{0}} \rightarrow x_{i_{0}+1} \rightarrow \cdots, x_{i} \in \mathcal{S}_{i}$ such that $x_{i} \rightarrow 0 \in \mathcal{S}$ then there exists $i_{1} \geq i_{0}$ such that for all $i \geq i_{1}$ we have $x_{i}=0$.

Proof. The first statement implies the surjectivity and the second one implies the injectivity of $\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{S}_{i} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$.

Using the above proposition we can check the following simple facts:
A.2. The short exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow\left\{\mathcal{L}_{i}\right\} \rightarrow\left\{\mathcal{S}_{i}\right\} \rightarrow\left\{\mathcal{T}_{i}\right\} \rightarrow 0
$$

gives

$$
0 \rightarrow \lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{L}_{i} \rightarrow \lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{S}_{i} \rightarrow \lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{T}_{i} \rightarrow 0
$$

A.3. For a collection of compatible maps $\left\{\mathcal{S}_{i}\right\} \rightarrow\left\{\mathcal{T}_{i}\right\}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{Ker}\left(\left\{\mathcal{S}_{i}\right\}\right.\left.\rightarrow\left\{\mathcal{T}_{i}\right\}\right)=\operatorname{Ker}\left(\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{S}_{i} \rightarrow \lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{T}_{i}\right) \\
& \lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{Im}\left(\left\{\mathcal{S}_{i}\right\} \rightarrow\left\{\mathcal{T}_{i}\right\}\right)=\operatorname{Im}\left(\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{S}_{i} \rightarrow \lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{T}_{i}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

One of the important properties of the direct limit sheaf is:
A.4. Let $\left\{\mathcal{S}_{i}\right\}$ be a direct system of sheaves over $V$. If $V$ is compact then

$$
H^{\mu}\left(V, \lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{S}_{i}\right)=\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} H^{\mu}\left(V, \mathcal{S}_{i}\right), \mu=0,1,2, \ldots
$$

Proof. The trick of the proof is that for a finite covering $\mathcal{U}$ of $V$ with Stein open sets every $\alpha \in H^{\mu}\left(\mathcal{U}, \lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{S}_{i}\right)\left(Z^{\mu}\left(\mathcal{U}, \lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{S}_{i}\right)\right.$ or $\left.B^{\mu}\left(\mathcal{U}, \lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{S}_{i}\right)\right)$ is represented by a finite number of sections. This enables us to check the properties 1 and 2 of Proposition A.1.

Sheaves with Pole Divisors: Let $\mathcal{S}$ be an analytic sheaf over an analytic compact variety $V$ and $D$ a divisor in $V$ which does not intersect the singular locus of $V$. By $\mathcal{S}(k D)$ we denote the sheaf of meromorphic sections of $\mathcal{S}$ with poles of multiplicity at most $k$ along $D$. Also, $\mathcal{S}(* D)=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{S}(k D)$ denotes the sheaf of meromorphic sections of $\mathcal{S}$ with poles of arbitrary order along $D$. We list some natural properties of sheaves with poles.
A.5. The short exact sequence of analytic sheaves $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{T} \rightarrow 0$ gives us the short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(* D) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(* D) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}(* D) \rightarrow 0$. In particular if $\mathcal{L}$ is a subsheaf of $\mathcal{S}$ then $\frac{\mathcal{S}}{\mathcal{T}}(* D)=\frac{\mathcal{S}(* D)}{\mathcal{L}(* D)}$.
A.6. The analytic homomorphism of sheaves $d: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$ induces a natural analytic homomorphism $d_{D}: \mathcal{S}(* D) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}(* D)$ and

$$
\operatorname{ker}(d)(* D)=\operatorname{ker}\left(d_{D}\right), \operatorname{Im}(d)(* D)=\operatorname{Im}\left(d_{D}\right)
$$

A.7. Let $D$ be a divisor in $V$. We have

$$
\left(\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{S}_{i}\right)(* D)=\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{S}_{i}(* D)
$$

A.8. $\left(\mathcal{S} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V}} \mathcal{T}\right)(* D)=\mathcal{S} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V}} \mathcal{T}(* D)=\mathcal{S}(* D) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V}} \mathcal{T}$
A.9. If $\mathcal{S}$ is coherent then $\mathcal{S}(k D)$ is also coherent. Moreover if $V$ is a compact manifold and $D$ is a positive divisor then there exists an integer $k_{0}$ such that

$$
H^{\mu}(V, \mathcal{S}(k D))=0, k \geq k_{0}, \mu \geq 1
$$

Using A. 4 and $\mathcal{S}(* D)=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{S}(k D)$ we have

$$
H^{\mu}(V, \mathcal{S}(* D))=0, \mu \geq 1
$$

A.10. (Vanishing theorem for limit sheaves) Let $\left\{\mathcal{S}_{i}\right\}$ be a direct system of coherent sheaves and $D$ a positive divisor in $V$. If $V$ is a compact manifold then

$$
H^{\mu}\left(V, \lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{S}_{i}(* D)\right)=0, \mu \geq 1
$$

Proof. This is a direct consequence of A. 4 and A.9.
Let $d: S \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$ be an analytic map between two coherent sheaves on a complex manifold $V, D$ a positive divisor in $V$ and $H^{0}\left(d_{D}\right): H^{0}(V, S(* D)) \rightarrow H^{0}(V, \mathcal{T}(* D))$.
A.11. We have $H^{0}\left(V, \operatorname{ker}\left(d_{D}\right)\right)=\operatorname{ker}\left(H^{0}\left(d_{D}\right)\right), H^{0}\left(V, \operatorname{Im}\left(d_{D}\right)\right)=\operatorname{Im}\left(H^{0}\left(d_{D}\right)\right)$.

The coherence of the sheaves and the positivity of the divisor is strongly used in the second equality.

Direct Image Sheaves: The first lines of this paragraph can be found in Chapter 1 Section 4.7 of [GrRe]. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a holomorphic map between the analytic varieties $X$ and $Y$ and $\mathcal{S}$ an analytic sheaf on $X$. For any open Stein subset $U$ of $Y$ we can associate the $\mathcal{O}(U)$-module $H^{i}\left(f^{-1}(U), \mathcal{S}\right)$. There are canonical restriction maps and we have an analytic presheaf on $Y$ defined on all open Stein subsets of $Y$. The associated analytic sheaf on $Y$ is called the $i$-th direct image of $\mathcal{S}$ and is denoted by $R^{i} f_{*} \mathcal{S}$. Every short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{T} \rightarrow 0$ of analytic sheaves over $X$ induces a long exact cohomology sequences

$$
0 \rightarrow R^{0} f_{*} \mathcal{L} \rightarrow R^{0} f_{*} \mathcal{S} \rightarrow R^{0} f_{*} \mathcal{T} \rightarrow R^{1} f_{*} \mathcal{L} \rightarrow R^{1} f_{*} \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \cdots
$$

The following fact says that the functor $R^{i} f_{*}$ and lim commute:
A.12. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a holomorphic map between the analytic varieties $X$ and $Y$ and $\left\{\mathcal{S}_{k}\right\}$ a direct system of analytic sheaves over $X$. Then

$$
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} R^{i} f_{*} \mathcal{S}_{k}=R^{i} f_{*} \lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{S}_{k}
$$

The Grauert direct image theorem says when the direct image sheaf $R^{i} f_{*} \mathcal{S}$ is coherent:
A.13. (Grauert direct image theorem) Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a proper holomorphic map between the analytic varieties $X$ and $Y$ and $\mathcal{S}$ a coherent analytic sheaf over $X$. Then for any $i \geq 0$ the $i$-th direct image $R^{i} f_{*} \mathcal{S}$ is a coherent analytic sheaf over $Y$.

Let $X^{\prime}$ be an analytic subvariety of $X$ and $\mathcal{S}$ an analytic sheaf over $X$. By structural restriction of $\mathcal{S}$ to $X^{\prime}$ we mean $\left.\mathcal{S}\right|_{X^{\prime}}=\frac{\mathcal{S}}{\mathcal{M} . \mathcal{S}}$, where $\mathcal{M}$ is the sheaf of holomorphic functions vanishing on $X^{\prime}$. If $\mathcal{S}$ is a coherent $\mathcal{O}_{X}$-module sheaf then $\left.\mathcal{S}\right|_{X^{\prime}}$ is a coherent $\mathcal{O}_{X^{\prime}}$-module sheaf. This restriction is different with the sheaf theorical restriction. In what follows all restrictions we consider are structural except in mentioned cases.

Let $g: V \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}$ be the holomorphic function introduced in in the first section, $c$ a point in $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ and $\mathcal{S}$ an analytic sheaf on $V$.
A.14. Let $\mathcal{S}$ be an analytic sheaf on $V$. Then

$$
\left(R^{i} g_{*} \mathcal{S}\right)(* D)=R^{i} g_{*}\left(\mathcal{S}\left(* g^{-1}(D)\right)\right)
$$

where $D=\{p\}$.
The above proposition in general may not be true (for instance when $g$ has multiplicity along $g^{-1}(D)$ ).

We define $\mathcal{S}_{c}=\left.\mathcal{S}\right|_{V_{c}}$ to be the restriction of $\mathcal{S}$ to the fiber $V_{c}=g^{-1}(c)$. The following natural function is well-defined:

$$
g_{c, i}:\left.R^{i} g_{*} \mathcal{S}\right|_{c} \rightarrow H^{i}\left(V_{c}, \mathcal{S}_{c}\right)
$$

A.15. The map $g_{c, i}, i \geq 0$ is injective.

Proof. Suppose that for an $\left.\alpha \in R^{i} g_{*} \mathcal{S}\right|_{c}$ we have $g_{c, i}(\alpha)=0$. For a Stein covering $\mathcal{U}$ of $V_{U}, \alpha$ is represented by an element $\alpha \in H^{i}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{S})$, where $U$ is a small open disk around $c . g_{c, i}(\alpha)=0$ means that the restriction of $\alpha$ to $V_{c}$ is zero. In other words there exists a $\beta \in C^{i-1}\left(\mathcal{U} \cap V_{c},\left.\mathcal{S}\right|_{V_{c}}\right)$ such that $\alpha=\partial \beta$. Since $\mathcal{U}$ is a Stein covering, taking $U$ smaller if it is necessary we can represent $\beta$ as an element of $C^{i-1}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{S})$ (by extending $\beta$ ). Now $\alpha-\left.\partial \beta\right|_{V_{c}}=0$ and so $\alpha-\partial \beta=h \circ g . \gamma$ for some $\gamma \in C^{i}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{S})$, where $h$ is a holomorphic regular function on $U$ vanishing on $c$ (here we have used this fact that the multiplicity of $g$ along each irreducible component of $V_{c}$ is one). Therefore $\alpha$ is zero in $\left.R^{i} g_{*} \mathcal{S}\right|_{c}$.

The map $g_{c, i}$ need not to be surjective. The obstruction to the surjectivity of $g_{c, i}$ is an element $\alpha \in R^{i+1} g_{*} \mathcal{S}_{c}$ with $\operatorname{supp}(\alpha)=\{c\}$. Therefore if $R^{i+1} g_{*} \mathcal{S}$ is freely generated then $g_{c, i}$ is an isomorphism (For more information see [GrRe] p. 209).

Our main Theorem in this paragraph which is used frequently in the article is the following:
A.16. (Variational vanishing theorem) Let $g: V \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}$ be as before and $\mathcal{S}$ a coherent sheaf on $V$. Let also $A$ be the blow-up divisor in $V$. Then

$$
R^{i} g_{*} \mathcal{S}(* A)=0, i \geq 1
$$

Proof. The main property of $A$ is that it its intersection with each fiber $V_{c}$ is positive in $V_{c}$. Fix a regular value $c \in \mathbb{P}^{1}$. Since $A_{c}=A \cap V_{c}$ is positive in $V_{c}$, there exists a natural number $k_{0}$ such that

$$
H^{i}\left(V_{c}, \mathcal{S}_{c}\left(k A_{c}\right)\right)=0, k \geq k_{0}
$$

This and A. 15 imply that $\left.R^{i} g_{*} \mathcal{S}(k A)\right|_{c}=0$. By Grauert direct image theorem $R^{i} g_{*} \mathcal{S}(k A)$ is coherent, therefore $R^{i} g_{*} \mathcal{S}(k A)$ is the zero sheaf in a neighborhood of $c$. Now in this neighborhood we have

$$
R^{i} g_{*} \mathcal{S}(* A)=R^{i} g_{*} \lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{S}(k A)=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} R^{i} g_{*} \mathcal{S}(k A)=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} 0=0
$$

Until now we have proved that $\operatorname{supp}\left(R^{i} g_{*} \mathcal{S}(* A)\right) \subset C$. If we had some type of Kodaira vanishing theorem for a singular variety $V_{c}, c \in C$ then the proof was complete. But I do not know such a theorem and so I use the following trick: Let $b$ be a regular value in $\mathbb{P}^{1}$. Since $R^{i} g_{*} \mathcal{S}(* A)$ is a discrete sheaf, we have

$$
H^{0}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}, R^{i} g_{*} \mathcal{S}(* A)(* b)\right)=\cup_{c \in C} R^{i} g_{*} \mathcal{S}(* A)_{c}
$$

By A. 14 we have $R^{i} g_{*} \mathcal{S}(* A)(b)=R^{i} g_{*} \mathcal{S}\left(* A+* V_{b}\right)$ and so $H^{0}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}, R^{i} f_{*} \mathcal{S}(* A)(* b)\right)=$ $H^{0}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}, R^{i} g_{*} \mathcal{S}\left(* A+* V_{b}\right)\right) \subset H^{i}\left(V, \mathcal{S}\left(* A+* V_{b}\right)\right) . A \cup V_{b}$ is the pullback of $M_{b}$ by the blow up map $\pi: V \rightarrow M$ and $M_{b}$ is a hyperplane section of $M$. Therefore $A \cup V_{b}$ is a positive divisor and

$$
H^{i}\left(V, \mathcal{S}\left(* A+* V_{b}\right)\right)=0
$$

We conclude that $R^{i} g_{*} \mathcal{S}(* A)_{c}=0$ for $c \in C$ which is the desired.

Atiyah-Hodge type Theorems: Let $V$ be a projective manifold of dimension $n$ and $A$ a submanifold of $V$ of codimension one. Denote by $\Omega^{i}(* A)$ the sheaf of meromorphic $i$-forms in $V$ with poles of arbitrary order along $A$. We have the following, not necessarily exact, sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \rightarrow H^{0}\left(V, \Omega^{0}(* A)\right) \xrightarrow{d^{0}} H^{0}\left(V, \Omega^{1}(* A)\right) \xrightarrow{d^{1}} \cdots \xrightarrow{d^{i-1}} H^{0}\left(V, \Omega^{i}(* A)\right) \xrightarrow{d^{i}} \cdots
$$

We form the cohomology groups

$$
\tilde{H}^{i}=\frac{\operatorname{Ker}\left(d^{i}\right)}{\operatorname{Im}\left(d^{i-1}\right)}, i \geq 0
$$

A.17. (Atiyah-Hodge Theorem $[\mathbf{N r}])$ Suppose that $A$ is positive in $V$. Then there are natural isomorphisms

$$
H^{i}(V-A, \mathbb{C}) \cong \tilde{H}^{i}
$$

Roughly speaking, this theorem says that every cohomology class in $H^{i}(V-A, \mathbb{C})$ is represented by a closed meromorphic i-form in $V$ with poles along $V$.

## B Some topological facts

All homologies considered in this appendix are with rational coefficients. Recall the notations (3), (4). Let $c \in \mathbb{P}^{1}, U$ a small open disk with center $c$ and $b$ a regular point in the boundary of $U$. We denote by $D$ the closure of $U$ in $\mathbb{P}^{1}$. Let also $\left\{p_{i} \mid i=1,2, \ldots, k\right\}$ be the singularities within $L_{c}$. To each $p_{i}$ we can associate a set of distinguished vanishing cycles $\left\{\delta_{i j} \mid j=1,2, \ldots, l_{k}\right\}$ in $H_{n}\left(L_{b}\right)$ (see [AGV]). Let also $\mu_{c}$ denote the sum of Milnor numbers of singularities within $L_{c}$. If $c$ is a regular value of $f_{0}$ then $\mu_{c}=0$.
B.1. We have 1. $L_{c}$ is a deformation retract of $L_{D}$ 2. $H_{n+1}\left(L_{D}\right)=0$ 3. $H_{i}\left(L_{D}, L_{b}\right)=$ 0 for $0 \leq i \leq n$ and $H_{n+1}\left(L_{D}, L_{b}\right)$ is freely generated of rank $\mu_{c}$ 4. $L_{U}$ is a Stein manifold 5. There is no linear relation between $\delta_{i j}$ 's 6 . $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{c}^{i}, 0 \leq i \leq n-1$ is a freely generated $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}, c}$-module of rank $\beta_{i}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{c}^{n}$ is a freely generated $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}, c}$-module of rank $\beta_{n}-\mu_{c}$.

Proof. Let us prove the first part. Since out of $c$ the map $g$ is a $C^{\infty}$ fiber bundle, by homotopy covering theorem (see $14,11.3,[\mathbf{S t}]$ ) we can take $U$ smaller if it is necessary. Let $B_{i}, i=1,2, \ldots, k$ be an open ball with center $p_{i}$ whose boundary is transverse to $L_{t}, t \in D . f:\left(L_{D}-\cup_{i} B_{i}, \partial\left(L_{D}-\cup_{i} B_{i}\right)\right) \rightarrow D$ is a $C^{\infty}$ fibration. Therefore $L_{D}$ can be retracted to $L_{c} \cup \cup_{i}\left(L_{D} \cap B_{i}\right)$. Now by an argument stated in [AGV] p. 32 we know that $L_{c} \cap B_{i}$ is a deformation retract of $L_{D} \cap B_{i}$ and so $L_{c}$ is a deformation retract of $L_{D}$.

Let us prove the second part. Let $\delta$ be an $(n+1)$-cycle in $L_{D}$. Taking another cycle in the homological class of $\delta$ we can assume that $\delta$ does not pass through $p_{i}$ 's. This time we take the ball $B_{i}$ in such a way that it does not intersect $\delta$. Let $D^{\prime}$ be another small closed disk inside $D$ with center $c$ such that $L_{t}, t \in D^{\prime}$ is transverse
to $\partial B_{i}$. $L_{D^{\prime}}$ is a deformation retract of $L_{D}$ and $L_{b} \cup \cup_{i}\left(L_{D^{\prime}} \cap B_{i}\right)$ is a deformation retract of $L_{D^{\prime}}$, where $b$ is a regular value in the boundary of $D^{\prime}$. Therefore $\delta$ is homologous to an $(n+1)$-cycle in $L_{b}$. But $L_{b}$ is a Stein manifold of dimension $n$ and so $H_{n+1}\left(L_{b}\right)=0$. We conclude that $H_{n+1}\left(L_{U}\right)=0$.

The proof of the third part is the same as (5.4.1) of [La]. Instead of (5.5.9) [La] we use a similar statement for an arbitrary isolated singularity (see [AGV]).
$L_{U}$ has no non discrete compact analytic set because $L_{U}=\cup_{t \in U} L_{t}$ and each $L_{t}$ is a Stein analytic space. Now let us prove that $L_{U}$ is holomorphically convex. To see this fact let $p=\infty \notin U$ and $t \in U$. We can consider $L_{U}$ as a subset of the Stein manifold $M-M_{p}=L_{\mathbb{C}}$. Every holomorphic function in $L_{t}$ extends to $M-M_{p}$ and hence to $L_{U}$. Knowing this and the fact that each $L_{t}, t \in U$ is Stein, we can easily check that $L_{U}$ is holomorphically convex.

Now let us prove the fifth part. Writing the long exact sequence of the pair ( $L_{D}, L_{b}$ ) we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cdots \rightarrow H_{n+1}\left(L_{D}\right) \rightarrow H_{n+1}\left(L_{D}, L_{b}\right) \rightarrow H_{n}\left(L_{b}\right) \rightarrow H_{n}\left(L_{D}\right) \rightarrow 0 \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

$H_{n+1}\left(L_{D}\right)=0$ and the vanishing cycles $\delta_{i j}$ are images of a basis of $H_{n+1}\left(L_{D}, L_{b}\right)$ under the boundary map. Therefore there does not exist any linear relation between $\delta_{i j}$ 's.

Now let us prove the last part. Since $L_{c}$ is a deformation retract of $L_{D}, \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{c}^{i}, i \leq n$ is freely generated of $\operatorname{rank} \operatorname{dim} H_{i}\left(L_{c}\right)$. By $1,2,3$ and the long exact sequence of the pair $\left(L_{D}, L_{b}\right)$, we have $\operatorname{dim}\left(H_{i}\left(L_{c}\right)\right)=\beta_{i}, i \leq n-1$ and $\operatorname{dim}\left(H_{n}\left(L_{c}\right)\right)=\beta_{n}-\mu_{c}$.

Both the inclusions $L_{b} \subset L_{D}, b \in D$ and $L_{c} \subset L_{D}$ induce isomorphisms in $i$-th homologies, where $i \leq n-1$ and $i=n$ if $c$ is a regular value. This means that we have a natural $i$-th homology bundle, and hence $i$-th cohomology bundle over $\mathbb{P}^{1}$, for $i \leq n-1$ (for $i=n$ over $\mathbb{P}^{1}-C$ ).

## C Local Brieskorn modules

Let $f:\left(\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, 0\right) \rightarrow(\mathbb{C}, 0)$ be a germ of a holomorphic function with an isolated critical point at 0 . The Brieskorn module

$$
{ }^{\prime} H(0)=\frac{\Omega^{n}}{d f \wedge \Omega^{n-1}+d \Omega^{n-1}}
$$

is a freely generated $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}, 0}$-module of rank $\mu$ (see $[\mathbf{B r}]$ and $[\mathbf{S e}]$ ), where $\Omega^{i}$ is the set of $i$-forms in $\left(\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, 0\right)$ and $\mu$ is the Milnor number of $f$. Let $t$ be a coordinate system in $(\mathbb{C}, 0)$.
C.1. Suppose that the restriction of $\left\{\omega_{i} \in \Omega^{n} \mid 1 \leq i \leq \mu\right\}$ to a fiber $f^{-1}(t), t \in$ $(\mathbb{C}, 0)-\{0\}$ generates its cohomology group. Then for all $\omega \in \Omega^{n}$ there exists a natural number $h$ such that $t^{h} \omega$ belongs to the $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}, 0}$-module generated by $\omega_{i}$ 's in ${ }^{\prime} H(0)$.

Proof. Let $\left\{\delta_{j}(t) \mid 1 \leq j \leq \mu\right\}$ be a basis of vanishing cycles in $H_{n}\left(f^{-1}(t), \mathbb{Z}\right)$. Define the matrices $A=\left[\int_{\delta_{j}(t)} \omega_{i}\right]_{\mu \times \mu}$ and $B=\left[\int_{\delta_{j}(t)} \omega\right]_{\mu \times 1}$. Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
P:=A^{-1} B=\frac{\operatorname{adj}(A) \cdot B}{\operatorname{det}(A)} \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\operatorname{adj}(A)$ is the adjoint of $A$. If we change the basis of $H_{n}\left(f^{-1}(t), \mathbb{Z}\right)$ and $C$ is the matrix of this change then $A$ changes to $C . A$ and $B$ to $C . B$, therefore $P=(C . A)^{-1} C . B=A^{-1} B$ does not change, particularly when $C$ is the monodromy operator obtained by turning around 0 . We conclude that $P$ is a one valued holomorphic function in $(\mathbb{C}, 0)-\{0\}$. (30) implies that $P=\frac{P^{\prime}}{t^{\hbar}}$, where $h$ is a natural number and $P^{\prime}=\left[p_{j}\right], p_{j} \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}, 0}$. Now

$$
\int_{\delta_{j}(t)}\left(t^{h} \omega-\sum_{i} p_{i} \omega_{i}\right)=0 \forall \delta_{j}(t)
$$

A basis of the freely generated $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}, 0}$-module ' $H(0)$ generates $H^{n}\left(f^{-1}(t), \mathbb{C}\right), \forall t \in$ $(\mathbb{C}, 0)-\{0\}$. Therefore $t^{h} \omega-\sum_{i} p_{i} \omega_{i}$ is zero in ${ }^{\prime} H(0)$.

Recall (24) and (25). The main proposition in this appendix is:
C.2. $\mathcal{C}_{c}^{\prime}$ is a free $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}, c}$-module of rank $\mu_{c}$.

Its proof consists of various steps.
C.3. $\frac{\mathcal{C}_{c}}{\mathcal{C}_{c}^{\prime}}$ is a finite dimensional vector space.

Proof. Let $a$ be a regular point in $U$. Since $A \cap V_{a}$ is a hyperplane section of $V_{a}$ and $\delta_{i j}, i=1,2, \ldots, k j=1,2, \ldots, l_{k}$ are linearly independent, by Atiyah-Hodge theorem there are meromorphic $n$-forms $\omega_{i j}$ in $V_{a}$ with poles along $A \cap V_{a}$ such that $\operatorname{det}\left[\int_{\delta_{i j}} \omega_{i j}\right]_{\mu_{c} \times \mu_{c}} \neq 0$

Consider the sheaf $\mathcal{S}$ of holomorphic $n$-forms in $V_{U}$ which are zero restricted to $V_{a}$. $\mathcal{S}$ is a coherent sheaf and so by A. $16 H^{1}\left(V_{U}, \mathcal{S}(* A)\right)=0$, where $\mathcal{S}(* A)$ is the sheaf of meromorphic sections of $\mathcal{S}$ with poles of arbitrary order along $A$. This implies that each $\omega_{i j}$ extends to $V_{U}$ as a meromorphic $n$-form with poles along $A$. We use the same notations for the extended ones. We conclude that the restriction of the $n$-forms $\omega_{i j}$ to a regular fiber of a singularity $g:\left(V, p_{i}\right) \rightarrow\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}, c\right)$ generate its $n$-th cohomology group. This and C. 1 imply that for every $\omega \in \mathcal{C}_{c} / \mathcal{C}_{c}^{\prime}$ there exists a natural number $h$ such that $(t-c)^{h} \omega=0$. Let $\Omega=\left\{\omega_{i} \mid i=1,2, \ldots, \mu_{c}\right\}$ freely generate $\mathcal{C}_{c}$ and $h$ be the minimum number such that $(t-c)^{h} \Omega=0$ in $\mathcal{C}_{c} / \mathcal{C}_{c}^{\prime}$. Now $\cup_{0 \leq i \leq h-1}(t-c)^{i} \Omega$ generates $\mathcal{C}_{c} / \mathcal{C}_{c}^{\prime}$ as a vector space.
C.4. If $S$ is a free $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}, 0}$ module of finite rank $k$ and if $R$ is a submodule, then $R$ is free of rank $l \leq k$; one has $l=k$ if and only if $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} S / K<\infty$.
$\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}, 0}$ is a principal ideal domain and the proof follows form the structure theroy of modules of principal ideal domains.

Proof of C.2. We know that $\mathcal{C}_{c}$ is a free $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}, c^{c}}$-module of rank $\mu_{c}$. Also by C.3, $\mathcal{C}_{c} / \mathcal{C}_{c}^{\prime}$ is a finite dimensional vector space. Therefore we can apply C. 4 and conclude the theorem.

I believe that $\mathcal{C}_{c}^{\prime}=\mathcal{C}_{c}$. But the methods in this article are not sufficient to prove this stronger result.

Let $A=\left\{\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, \ldots, z_{n}\right) \in\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}, 0\right) \mid z_{1}=0\right\}$ and $\Omega^{i}$ be the set of holomorphic $i$-forms in $\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}, 0\right)-A$. We have the complex

$$
0 \rightarrow \Omega^{0} \xrightarrow{d^{0}} \Omega^{1} \xrightarrow{d^{1}} \cdots \xrightarrow{d^{n-1}} \Omega^{n} \xrightarrow{d^{n}} 0
$$

and so we define $H^{i}=\frac{\operatorname{Ker}\left(d^{i}\right)}{\operatorname{Im}\left(d^{i-1}\right)}$. Let also $\Omega_{*}^{i}$ be the subset of $\Omega^{i}$ containing the $i$-forms with poles of arbitrary order along $A$. In the same way we can define $H_{*}^{i}$.
C.5. we have $H^{i}=H_{*}^{i}=0, i \geq 2$ and $H^{1}=H_{*}^{1}=L$, where $L=\left\{\left.p \frac{d z_{1}}{z_{1}} \right\rvert\, p \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^{k}, 0}\right\}$

Proof. The proof is completely formal, for instance see [Gu] Theorem 3E. We only prove the proposition for $H^{i}$. For the other the argument is similar.

Fix the $i$-form $\omega$ with $d \omega=0$. We want to prove that $\omega=d \eta$ (up to $L$ if $i=1$ ), where $\eta \in \Omega^{i-1}$. Let $k$ be the least integer such that the representation of $\omega$ contains only $d z_{1}, d z_{2}, \ldots, d z_{k}$ (we have $i \leq k$ ). The proof is by induction on $k$. The case $k=0$ is trivial. We write $\omega=d z_{k} \wedge \alpha+\beta$, where $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are differential forms that involve only $d z_{1}, d z_{2}, \ldots, d z_{k-1}$. Since $-d z_{k} \wedge d \alpha+d \beta=0$, the coefficients of $\alpha$ and $\beta$ do not depend on $z_{k+1}, \ldots, z_{n}$. If $k>1$ then we can write any coefficient of $\alpha$, say $f$, as $f=\frac{d g}{d z_{k}}$ and if $k=1$ as $f=\frac{p}{z_{1}}+\frac{d g}{d z_{1}}$, where $g \in \Omega^{0}$ and $p \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^{k}, 0}$. Let $\gamma$ be the differential form obtained from $\alpha$ by replacing each coefficient $f$ by the corresponding coefficient $g$. Then $d \gamma=\delta+d z_{k} \wedge \alpha$ (if $k=1$ then up to $L$ ), where $\delta$ is a differential form involving only $d z_{1}, \ldots, d z_{k-1}$. Next set $\theta=\delta-\beta$. We have $d \theta=0$ and so by induction $\theta=d \eta$ (if $i=1$ then up to $L$ ). Now $\omega=d(\gamma-\eta)$ (if $i=1$ then up to $L$ ).
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