

A survey on Descent Method in Multiobjective optimization

Benar F. Svaiter
IMPA

X Brazilian Workshop on Continuous Optimization
Celebrating Clovis Gonzaga's 70th birthday

Florianópolis, Santa Catarina, March 2014

- 1 Introduction & Steepest Descent method
- 2 Newton Method
- 3 Extensions
- 4 Open Problems
- 5 references

The problem

- Given $F : \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$, $F(x) = (F_1(x), \dots, F_m(x))$
(\mathcal{C}^1 or \mathcal{C}^2 or componentwise convex, pseudoconvex etc.)

The problem

- Given $F : \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$, $F(x) = (F_1(x), \dots, F_m(x))$
(\mathcal{C}^1 or \mathcal{C}^2 or componentwise convex, pseudoconvex etc.)
- minimize $F_1(x)$ *and* $F_2(x)$ *and* ... *and* $F_m(x)$ for $x \in \Omega$

The problem

- Given $F : \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$, $F(x) = (F_1(x), \dots, F_m(x))$
(\mathcal{C}^1 or \mathcal{C}^2 or componentwise convex, pseudoconvex etc.)
- minimize $F_1(x)$ *and* $F_2(x)$ *and* ... *and* $F_m(x)$ for $x \in \Omega$
- what is an optimum?

The problem

- Given $F : \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$, $F(x) = (F_1(x), \dots, F_m(x))$
(\mathcal{C}^1 or \mathcal{C}^2 or componentwise convex, pseudoconvex etc.)
- minimize $F_1(x)$ *and* $F_2(x)$ *and* ... *and* $F_m(x)$ for $x \in \Omega$
- what is an optimum?
- $x^* \in \Omega$ is *Pareto* optimum if:
 $y \in \Omega$, $F(y) \leq F(x^*)$ (componentwise) $\Rightarrow F(y) = F(x^*)$

F is C^1 and $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^n$

- d is a **descent direction** at x if

F is \mathcal{C}^1 and $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^n$

- d is a **descent direction** at x if $\langle \nabla F_i(x), d \rangle < 0 \quad i = 1, \dots, m$

F is \mathcal{C}^1 and $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^n$

- d is a **descent direction** at x if $\langle \nabla F_i(x), d \rangle < 0 \quad i = 1, \dots, m$
- the **steepest descent direction**?

F is C^1 and $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^n$

- d is a **descent direction** at x if $\langle \nabla F_i(x), d \rangle < 0 \quad i = 1, \dots, m$
- the **steepest descent direction**?
 d_s solution of

$$\min_{d \in \mathbb{R}^m} \max_{i=1, \dots, m} \langle \nabla F_i(x), d \rangle + \|d\|^2/2$$

F is C^1 and $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^n$

- d is a **descent direction** at x if $\langle \nabla F_i(x), d \rangle < 0 \quad i = 1, \dots, m$
- the **steepest descent direction**?
 d_s solution of

$$\min_{d \in \mathbb{R}^m} \max_{i=1, \dots, m} \langle \nabla F_i(x), d \rangle + \|d\|^2/2$$

- $m = 1,$

F is C^1 and $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^n$

- d is a **descent direction** at x if $\langle \nabla F_i(x), d \rangle < 0 \quad i = 1, \dots, m$
- the **steepest descent direction**?
 d_s solution of

$$\min_{d \in \mathbb{R}^m} \max_{i=1, \dots, m} \langle \nabla F_i(x), d \rangle + \|d\|^2/2$$

- $m = 1$, scalar minimization, $F : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

F is C^1 and $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^n$

- d is a **descent direction** at x if $\langle \nabla F_i(x), d \rangle < 0 \quad i = 1, \dots, m$
- the **steepest descent direction**?
 d_s solution of

$$\min_{d \in \mathbb{R}^m} \max_{i=1, \dots, m} \langle \nabla F_i(x), d \rangle + \|d\|^2/2$$

- $m = 1$, scalar minimization, $F : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$
we retrieve Cauchy direction, $d_s = -\nabla F(x)$

Critical points

Critical points

x is **critical** if $\nexists d$ desc. dir.

Critical points

x is **critical** if $\nexists d$ desc. dir.

equivalent conditions

Critical points

x is **critical** if $\nexists d$ desc. dir.

equivalent conditions

- $\text{range}(DF(x)) \cap \mathbb{R}_{++}^m = \emptyset$

Critical points

x is **critical** if $\nexists d$ desc. dir.

equivalent conditions

- $\text{range}(DF(x)) \cap \mathbb{R}_{++}^m = \emptyset$
- $d_s = 0$

Critical points

x is **critical** if $\nexists d$ desc. dir.

equivalent conditions

- $\text{range}(DF(x)) \cap \mathbb{R}_{++}^m = \emptyset$
- $d_s = 0$
- for $m = 1$, $\nabla F(x) = 0$

Critical points

x is **critical** if $\nexists d$ desc. dir.

equivalent conditions

- $\text{range}(DF(x)) \cap \mathbb{R}_{++}^m = \emptyset$
- $d_s = 0$
- for $m = 1$, $\nabla F(x) = 0$

is a necessary condition for (Pareto) optimality

Armijo rule (for a descent direction)

$$0 < \beta < 1 \quad ,$$

Armijo rule (for a descent direction)

$$0 < \beta < 1 \quad (\beta < 1/2),$$

Armijo rule (for a descent direction)

$$0 < \beta < 1 \quad (\beta < 1/2),$$

d desc. dir. at x

Armijo rule (for a descent direction)

$$0 < \beta < 1 \quad (\beta < 1/2),$$

d desc. dir. at x

$$F_j(x + td) \leq F_j(x) + \beta t \max_{i=1, \dots, m} \langle \nabla F_i(x), d \rangle$$

Steepest Descent Method

steepest desc. dir.

Steepest Descent Method

steepest desc. dir. + backtracking w. Armijo rule

Steepest Descent Method

steepest desc. dir. + backtracking w. Armijo rule
=Steepest desc. method for multiobjective optimization

Steepest Descent Method

steepest desc. dir. + backtracking w. Armijo rule
=Steepest desc. method for multiobjective optimization

generates $\{x_k\}$

Steepest Descent Method

steepest desc. dir. + backtracking w. Armijo rule
=Steepest desc. method for multiobjective optimization

generates $\{x_k\} \Rightarrow$ cluster points are critical

Steepest Descent Method

steepest desc. dir. + backtracking w. Armijo rule
=Steepest desc. method for multiobjective optimization

generates $\{x_k\} \Rightarrow$ cluster points are critical

(additionally) F componentwise convex

Steepest Descent Method

steepest desc. dir. + backtracking w. Armijo rule
=Steepest desc. method for multiobjective optimization

generates $\{x_k\} \Rightarrow$ cluster points are critical

(additionally) F componentwise convex \Rightarrow convergence to a critical point

Projected Gradient

- Ω is a closed convex set

Projected Gradient

- Ω is a closed convex set
- search direction sol. of

Projected Gradient

- Ω is a closed convex set
- search direction sol. of

$$\min_{i=1,\dots,m} \max \langle \nabla F_i(x), d \rangle + \|d\|^2/2 \quad x + d \in \Omega$$

- similar conv. results

Newton Method

Newton Method

- F is \mathcal{C}^2

Newton Method

- F is \mathcal{C}^2
- quadratic model of F 's variation

Newton Method

- F is \mathcal{C}^2
- quadratic model of F 's variation

$$q_{x,i}(d) = \langle \nabla F_i(x), d \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \langle \nabla^2 F_i(x) d, d \rangle$$

Newton Method

- F is \mathcal{C}^2
- quadratic model of F 's variation

$$q_{x,i}(d) = \langle \nabla F_i(x), d \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \langle \nabla^2 F_i(x) d, d \rangle$$

- Newton direction,

Newton Method

- F is \mathcal{C}^2
- quadratic model of F 's variation

$$q_{x,i}(d) = \langle \nabla F_i(x), d \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \langle \nabla^2 F_i(x) d, d \rangle$$

- Newton direction, ($\nabla^2 F_i$ positive def. for $i = 1, \dots, m$)

Newton Method

- F is \mathcal{C}^2
- quadratic model of F 's variation

$$q_{x,i}(d) = \langle \nabla F_i(x), d \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \langle \nabla^2 F_i(x) d, d \rangle$$

- Newton direction, ($\nabla^2 F_i$ positive def. for $i = 1, \dots, m$)
 d_N sol. of

$$\min_{i=1, \dots, m} \max_{d \in \mathbb{R}^n} q_{x,i}(d)$$

Newton Method

- F is \mathcal{C}^2
- quadratic model of F 's variation

$$q_{x,i}(d) = \langle \nabla F_i(x), d \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \langle \nabla^2 F_i(x) d, d \rangle$$

- Newton direction, ($\nabla^2 F_i$ positive def. for $i = 1, \dots, m$)
 d_N sol. of

$$\min_{i=1, \dots, m} \max q_{x,i}(d) \quad d \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

- this problem is unbounded?

Newton Method

- F is \mathcal{C}^2
- quadratic model of F 's variation

$$q_{x,i}(d) = \langle \nabla F_i(x), d \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \langle \nabla^2 F_i(x) d, d \rangle$$

- Newton direction, ($\nabla^2 F_i$ positive def. for $i = 1, \dots, m$)
 d_N sol. of

$$\min \max_{i=1, \dots, m} q_{x,i}(d) \quad d \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

- this problem is unbounded?
use

$$\min \max_{i=1, \dots, m} q_{x,i}(d) + \mu \|d\|^2 / 2 \quad d \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

Newton Method

- F is \mathcal{C}^2
- quadratic model of F 's variation

$$q_{x,i}(d) = \langle \nabla F_i(x), d \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \langle \nabla^2 F_i(x) d, d \rangle$$

- Newton direction, ($\nabla^2 F_i$ positive def. for $i = 1, \dots, m$)
 d_N sol. of

$$\min \max_{i=1, \dots, m} q_{x,i}(d) \quad d \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

- this problem is unbounded?
use

$$\min \max_{i=1, \dots, m} q_{x,i}(d) + \mu \|d\|^2 / 2 \quad d \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

for a “large” $\mu \geq 0$,

Newton Method

- F is \mathcal{C}^2
- quadratic model of F 's variation

$$q_{x,i}(d) = \langle \nabla F_i(x), d \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \langle \nabla^2 F_i(x) d, d \rangle$$

- Newton direction, ($\nabla^2 F_i$ positive def. for $i = 1, \dots, m$)
 d_N sol. of

$$\min \max_{i=1, \dots, m} q_{x,i}(d) \quad d \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

- this problem is unbounded?
use

$$\min \max_{i=1, \dots, m} q_{x,i}(d) + \mu \|d\|^2 / 2 \quad d \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

for a “large” $\mu \geq 0$, not “too large”

Armijo rule for quad. models (in multiob. opt.)

Armijo rule for quad. models (in multiob. opt.)

d desc. dir at x

Armijo rule for quad. models (in multiob. opt.)

d desc. dir at x

- $\theta = \max_{i=1,\dots,m} q_{x,i}(d)$

Armijo rule for quad. models (in multiob. opt.)

d desc. dir at x

- $\theta = \max_{i=1,\dots,m} q_{x,i}(d)$
- $F_i(x + td) \leq F_i(x) + \beta t\theta$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$

prox. for multiob. optim.

$$x_{k+1} \in \arg \min F(x) + w \|x - x_k\|^2/2, \quad w \in \mathbb{R}^m, w > 0$$

Extension to vector optim.

Extension to vector optim.

$$F(y) \leq F(x) \iff F(x) - F(y) \in \mathbb{R}_+^m$$

Extension to vector optim.

$$F(y) \leq F(x) \iff F(x) - F(y) \in \mathbb{R}_+^m$$

- K closed convex cone

Extension to vector optim.

$$F(y) \leq F(x) \iff F(x) - F(y) \in \mathbb{R}_+^m$$

- K closed convex cone
- $F(y) \preceq_K F(x) \iff F(x) - F(y) \in K$

steepest descent OK

Extension to vector optim.

$$F(y) \leq F(x) \iff F(x) - F(y) \in \mathbb{R}_+^m$$

- K closed convex cone
- $F(y) \preceq_K F(x) \iff F(x) - F(y) \in K$
- x^* is K -optimum if $F(y) \preceq_K F(x^*) \Rightarrow F(y) = F(x^*)$

steepest descent OK

Newton OK

Some open problems

Some open problems

- Duality in multiobjective optim.

Some open problems

- Duality in multiobjective optim.
- Augmented Lagrangian methods

Some open problems

- Duality in multiobjective optim.
- Augmented Lagrangian methods
- Decomposition methods

Some open problems

- Duality in multiobjective optim.
- Augmented Lagrangian methods
- Decomposition methods
- secant methods

- Steepest Descent Methods for Multicriteria Optimization

Jorg Fliege and B. F. Svaiter. *Mathematical Methods of Operations Research*, **51** (2000), 479–494.

- A Projected Gradient Method for Vector Optimization Problems

L. M. Graña Drummond and A. N. Iusem, *Computational Optimization and Applications*, **28** (2004), 5–30.

- A Steepest Descent Method for Vector Optimization

L. M. Grana Drummond e B. F. Svaiter. *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, **175** (2005), 395–414.

- Proximal methods in vector optimization

Henri Bonnel, A. N. Iusem e B. F. Svaiter. *SIAM Journal on Optimization*, **15** (2005), 953–970.

- Newton's Method for Multiobjective Optimization

Joerg Fliege, L. M. Graña Drummond and B. F. Svaiter. *SIAM Journal on Optimization*, **20** (2009), 602–626.

- On the Convergence of the Projected Gradient Method for Vector Optimization

E. H. Fukuda and M. L. Graña Drummond. *Optimization*, **60** (2011), 1009–1021.

- A quadratically convergent Newton method for vector optimization

L. M. Grana Drummond, F. M. P. Raupp e B. F. Svaiter. *Optimization*.